# Statewide Catastrophic Incident Planning Team (SCIPT)

## SCIPT 2022 Q1/Q2 Meeting Agenda

**Monday, April 25th, 2022**

1:00 P.M. – 4:00 P.M.

Conducted via Microsoft Teams (w/ASL Services)

**Join on your computer or mobile app**

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

**Or call in (audio only)**

+1 253-372-2181

Phone Conference ID: 683 538 397#

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. Welcome, Administrative Announcements, and Introductions</strong></td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Shane Moore, WA EMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Roberson, WA EMD – SCIPT Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kirk Holmes, Perteet Inc. – SCIPT Co-Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II. Significant Events and Updates</strong></td>
<td>1:10 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Regional Catastrophic Planning Team (RCPT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Pierce County</td>
<td>1a. Amy Gillespie, Pierce DEM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Snohomish County</td>
<td>1b. Amy Lucas, Snohomish DEM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. King County</td>
<td>1c. Sasha Rector, King OEM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>III. Next Steps for Catastrophic Planning</strong></td>
<td>1:40 p.m.</td>
<td>Shane Moore, WA EMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Current Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Future Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IV. Overview of the Catastrophic Incident Annex</strong></td>
<td>2:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Shane Moore, WA EMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Utility &amp; Integration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Break</strong></td>
<td>2:30 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IV. Overview of the Catastrophic Incident Annex</strong></td>
<td>2:40 p.m.</td>
<td>Shane Moore, WA EMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Critical Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Mass Care Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Infrastructure Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Supporting Core Capabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Incident- and Hazard-Specific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VI. Good of the Order/ Open Forum</strong></td>
<td>3:45 p.m.</td>
<td>Shane Moore, EMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Comments, Feedback, Suggestions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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I. WELCOME, ADMINISTRATIVE ANNOUNCEMENTS, AND INTRODUCTIONS

Welcome

Administrative Announcements
II. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS AND UPDATES

1. REGIONAL CATASTROPHIC PREPAREDNESS GRANT PROGRAM (RCPGP)

PIERCE COUNTY RCPGP 2021 UPDATE
# PIERCE COUNTY FY 2021 REGIONAL CATASTROPHIC PROJECT

**Lead Agency:** Pierce County Department of Emergency Management (DEM)

**Project Title:** Sustaining Survivors After A Catastrophic Incident: Understanding Food Processing Capabilities to Support Life-Sustaining Commodities.

**Gap:** 359,600 people will require shelter and 1,681,016 will require food and water after a CSZ incident.
Pierce County DEM’s project includes the following components:

• Identifying key local food production companies to include the agriculture sector.

• Identifying existing barriers and restrictions that would limit the response of the food production companies responding during a disaster.

• Facilitating private-private planning and preparedness to ensure surviving supply capacity can effectively feed survivors in the aftermath of a catastrophe.

• Identifying resources, the private sector would need from the public sector to provide food to communities after a catastrophic earthquake.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hire one Emergency Management Coordinator. (Project Manager)</td>
<td>Hire a contractor.</td>
<td>Conduct four workshops.</td>
<td>Conduct one tabletop exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor to conduct research.</td>
<td>Contractor to meet with individual businesses and organizations.</td>
<td>Conduct two trainings.</td>
<td>Conduct an after-action meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Produce draft planning framework.</td>
<td>Produce after-action report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Design and develop the information sharing portal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS AND UPDATES

1. REGIONAL CATASTROPHIC PREPAREDNESS GRANT PROGRAM (RCPGP)

SNOHOMISH COUNTY RCPGP 2019 UPDATE
RCPGP Hazard Scenario

Increase capability level within the Food, Water, and Sheltering Community Lifeline

- Cascadia Subduction Zone M 9.0
- Worst Case Scenario
- Complete infrastructure damage
- Population island results
FY 2019 RCPGP Product Updates

- Critical Transportation Mapping – completed
- Viable CPOD sites Mapping – completed
- Maritime Workshops – completed
- Critical Transportation Workshop – completed
- Regional CONOPs – Underway
- Maritime Mapping – Underway
- Tabletop Exercise in May
- Train the Trainer - August 2022
- CPOD SOP and Training – Fall 2022
- All program products and activities adapted to a virtual format
Critical Transportation Mapping

- Roadway System
- Population Islands
  - created from:
    - RRAP results (bridge and highway)
    - Liquefaction zones
    - Landslide data
Critical Transportation Mapping

- Roadway System
  - Lifeline Network
  - WSDOT and Local Priority Routes mapped for debris clearing
  - Local Alternate Routes if available
  - Maritime inputs near bridges for barge traffic
Critical Transportation Mapping

- Maritime System
  - Maritime Superhighway – trunk and tree system map
- Identifies Deep Water inputs and navigable rivers for cargo/freight traffic
Puget Sound RCPGP CPOD Prioritization Site Viewer
Puget Sound RCPGP CPOD Prioritization Site Viewer

Daytime Scenario
The daytime population is 16,017, and population need factor is 0.43. This means the total CPOD capacity is 6,987 persons per day. This requires 2 Type II CPOD(s).

Nighttime Scenario
The nighttime population is 12,553, and population need factor is 0.41. This means the total CPOD capacity is 5,148 persons per day. This requires 2 Type III CPOD(s).
## CPOD Site Selection Tool

### Excel CPOD Site Selection Tool_v3.a - Saved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Islands</th>
<th>Daytime</th>
<th>Nighttime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population Islands with read daily rest</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Islands with read partly rest</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Islands not read</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Need</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPODs Selected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need Max</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Need</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPODs Selected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need Max</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Daily Need

- Total number of CPODs selected: 0
- Daytime CPODs: 0
- Nighttime CPODs: 0
- Other CPODs: NA

### Selected CPODs

- Snohomish County
- Population Islands
- Overall

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Islands</th>
<th>Snohomish</th>
<th>Population Islands with read daily rest</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Population Islands with read partly rest</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Population Islands not read</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Total Need</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>CPODs Selected</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Need Max</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>Total Need</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>CPODs Selected</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Need Max</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Stk Sop-1</td>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>Population Islands with read daily rest</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>Population Islands with read partly rest</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Population Islands not read</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Total Need</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>CPODs Selected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Need Max</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Total Need</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CPODs Selected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Need Max</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 1. Themes and Indicators Weighting Table

This table allows you to adjust baseline scores to customize the weights that your indicators have, by changing the weights for entire themes (column A), or for individual indicators (columns E, G, I, J, and K) will show the change in weights from the baseline based on your new scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your value</th>
<th>Regional</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Your value</th>
<th>Regional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Site Characteristics</td>
<td>SC1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Proximity to major transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Population AFN</td>
<td>RA1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Total Social Vulnerability Index (SVI) Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Food Availability</td>
<td>EA1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Grocery stores in neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other Options</td>
<td>OR1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Ad-hoc shelter space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Indicator ID Name

- **SC1**: Proximity to major transportation
- **SC2**: Usable site area
- **SC3**: Site Ownership/Usage
- **SC4**: Proximity to public transit
- **SC5**: On-site storage
- **RA1**: Total Social Vulnerability Index (SVI) Score
- **RA2**: Population within 2 miles
- **RA3**: SVI Household Composition Score
- **RA4**: SVI Minority Status and Language Score
- **RA5**: Population without vehicle access
- **RA6**: Population in close proximity
- **EA1**: Proximity to emergency water distribution
- **EA2**: Proximity to community food aid
- **EA3**: Food insecurity population
- **EA4**: Nearest grocery store
- **EA5**: Ad-hoc shelter space

### Metric

- **Total Score Influence**: Scores for entire themes
- **Baseline Influence**: Baseline scores for indicators
- **Difference**: Change in scores from baseline to new scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Total Score Influence</th>
<th>Baseline Influence</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Routed distance to state highway or any 4-lane freight road (straight line distance)</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated area (sq) usable for CPOD site</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection from CPOD site layer dropdown</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of transit stops or park and rides within 2 miles</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection from CPOD site layer dropdown</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population weighted average SVI score for tracts within 2 miles of CPOD site</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population within 2 miles of CPOD site</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population weighted average SVI score for tracts within 2 miles of CPOD site</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count of population (or households) without vehicles</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population within 0.5 miles of CPOD site</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of accessible grocery stores in 2-mile radius</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closest bulk water distribution point</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of food pantries, other food aid centers in 2-mile radius</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average CNA food insecurity scores for tracts within 2 miles of CPOD site</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closest store to CPOD by routed distance, taking into account barriers</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum public parcel area, exclusive of buildings within 241 miles of CPOD site</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closest airport, heliport, marina, or port to CPOD by routed distance, taking into account barriers</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CPOD Site Selection Tool

Customizable:
Can move populations between islands based on evacuation status
Both Daytime and Nighttime need factors are adjusted
# CPOD Site Selection Tool

## 2. Population Island Scoping Table

In review all the population islands by jurisdiction and change underlying assumptions about daytime and nighttime populations and need factors. To reallocate population from one island to another, select the new island from the dropdown in column E (Daytime or nighttime population levels, type new values in columns F and H). To customize day and night need factors for each island, type new values in columns J and L. To add alternate scenarios with different population levels and need factors, add data to columns N (Other Scenario Population) and column O (Other Scenario Need Factor). Daily need (in ppl/day) is calculated based on new user values, or baseline values if no custom values are set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Islands</th>
<th>Daytime Population</th>
<th>Nighttime Population</th>
<th>Day Need Factor (NF)</th>
<th>Night Need Factor (NF)</th>
<th>Other Scenario</th>
<th>Daily Need (ppl/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>User Pop (D)</td>
<td>User Pop (N)</td>
<td>User Pop (D)</td>
<td>User Pop (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93 Sno-21</td>
<td>141172</td>
<td>140614</td>
<td>152259</td>
<td>161702</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>57416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 Sno-22</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>67695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SELECTED CPODs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Population Islands</th>
<th>Daytime</th>
<th>Nighttime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TOTALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daytime</th>
<th>Nighttime</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ongoing Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daytime</th>
<th>Nighttime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64000</td>
<td>67695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daytime</th>
<th>Nighttime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111.3%</td>
<td>94.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CPOD Activation Toolkit

- CPOD Prioritization Viewer
- CPOD Site Selection Tool
- CPOD Activation Tool
- CPOD Resourcing Tool
CONOPs Planning and Scoping

- CONOPs Structure
  - Process of developing methodology for viable CPOD sites
  - Guidance on how to select sites from pre-selection post disaster
  - Authorities, Roles/Responsibilities, Contracts, Staffing, Equipment, Resupply, Demobilization
  - Annexes – Jurisdiction specific
    - Templates for Level 2 partners
  - SOP and Training program – Fall 2022
Critical Transportation Table Top Exercise Planning

- Half Day Exercise – virtual with Zoom and ESRI Story Maps
  - Plenary Intro
  - Break-out Session 1 – Critical Infrastructure Objectives
  - Plenary Report in with State/Federal feedback panel
  - Break-out Session 2 – Mass Care/Supply Chain Objectives
  - Plenary Report in with State/Federal/Private Sector feedback panel
II. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS AND UPDATES

1. REGIONAL CATASTROPHE PREPAREDNESS GRANT PROGRAM (RCPGP)

KING COUNTY RCPGP 2020 UPDATE
Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program FY20

• Area Workshop Recap
• Regional Workshop
• Framework
Area Workshops

Area Workshop Dates
• Everett – February 3
• Tacoma – February 10
• Bellingham – March 3
• Olympia – March 7
• Seattle – March 24
• Bremerton – April 14

Regional Workshop
• May 24 - Tacoma
Area Workshops

Public/Private Participation

- Bellingham
- Bremerton
- Everett
- Olympia
- Seattle
- Tacoma
- TOTALS

Total of 199
Workshop Overview

• Participants
  • Emergency Management, Public and Private Marine Organizations, Port Operations, US Coast Guard

• WA EMD and WA Geological Survey

• Best Practices
  • Workforce rally points, updates to volunteer management programs, changes in plans and mindset following the assessment conducted by WA EMD

• Communications
  • Identification of communications options especially during loss of power
Regional Workshop

- May 24, (9a-3p) – Foss Maritime Center, Tacoma
- Table discussion - recap of the 6 area workshops
- Overview of CPOD site mapping and maritime mapping
- Emergency Management Perspectives
- Maritime Perspective
- USCG – Roles and responsibilities
- Next steps for framework development
- Communications and information sharing
Framework

Continued development
1. Identification of smaller ports, possible landing sites for transfer of supplies from water assets to land assets
2. Resiliency of assets
3. Identification of additional planning efforts
4. Developing strategies to close identified gaps
Framework

Framework:
• Methods and resources for moving supplies
• Physical Structure
• Roles and Responsibilities
• Essential Functions
• Coordinating Structures and Integration
• Relationship to Other Response Activities
• Operational Planning
• Framework Sustainment

Annexes to include:
• Workshop summaries
• Mapping
• Additional resources and planning initiatives
III. NEXT STEPS FOR CATASTROPHIC PLANNING

April/May

- Catastrophic Incident Annex Review
- “Executive Summary”

June

- Cascadia Rising 2022
- CR22 Hotwash

July and Beyond

- Remaining Core Capabilities
  - Public Health, Healthcare, and EMS
  - Fatality Management Services
  - Infrastructure Systems
III. NEXT STEPS FOR CATASTROPHIC PLANNING

The Catastrophic Incident Annex Review
• CIA Base Plan
• Tab A: Critical Transportation
• Tab B: Mass Care Services
• Tab C: Infrastructure Systems
• Tab F: Operational Coordination
• Tab G: Situational Assessment
• Tab H: Logistics and Supply Chain Management
• Tab I: Operational Communications
• Appendix 1: Cascadia Subduction Zone

Assistance requested from the SCIPT to help review these documents.

Options:
• Choose 1 or more parts of the plan to review.
• Review Appendix 1: Cascadia Subduction Zone
• Review 1 Tab and its corresponding section within the CSZ Appendix

To sign-up, please use the link below
MS Forms Survey Link:
https://forms.office.com/g/cBsxFyyVLz
III. NEXT STEPS FOR CATASTROPHIC PLANNING

April/May

- Catastrophic Incident Annex Review
- “Executive Summary”

“A disaster-ready and resilient Washington State”

“A document which highlights:

- Important background information
- Key decisions
- Policy considerations
- Strategic objectives

“A document that provides decision-making tools:

Impact
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III. NEXT STEPS FOR CATASTROPHIC PLANNING

CASCADIA RISING 2022

PORTIONS OF THE CIA TESTED

- Critical Transportation
- Mass Care Services

CR22 HOTWASH

INITIAL FEEDBACK

- How did the concepts used in plan development hold-up during the exercise?
- Where was there confusion?
- Identification of areas for revision
- Did the products make sense and were they used?
III. NEXT STEPS FOR CATASTROPHIC PLANNING

July and Beyond

REMAINING CORE CAPABILITIES

Public Health, Healthcare, And EMS

Fatality Management Service

Infrastructure Systems

Wastewater

Energy (Fuel)

Information Communications Technology (ICT)
III. NEXT STEPS FOR CATASTROPHIC PLANNING

CURRENT PLANNING

Critical Transportation – Priority Routes

- On-going work to identify local jurisdictional routes, integrate them into the GIS database, and conduct deconfliction.

- Revisit after mass care and other planning efforts to highlight additional considerations for routes.
III. NEXT STEPS FOR CATASTROPHIC PLANNING

CURRENT PLANNING

Regional Catastrophic Planning Teams

1. Identify how the planning and products produced during regional planning can reproduced and applied for other regions or jurisdictions.

2. Integrate appropriate planning elements and findings into state-level plans.

3. Continue to support ongoing planning initiatives.
III. NEXT STEPS FOR CATASTROPHIC PLANNING

FUTURE PLANNING

Decision for the next SCIPT Meeting

Which Core Capability is next?

- Public Health, Healthcare, and EMS
- Fatality Management Services
- Infrastructure Systems
  - Wastewater
  - Energy (Fuel)
  - Information Communications Technology (ICT)
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE CATASTROPHIC INCIDENT ANNEX

- Structure
- Utility & Integration
- Critical Transportation
- Mass Care Services
- Infrastructure Systems
- Supporting Core Capabilities
- Hazard- and Incident-Specific Plans
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE CATASTROPHIC INCIDENT ANNEX

- Describes the approach to incident management catastrophic incidents

- Defines strategic objectives and outlines how each core capability is sustained during an incident

- Describes the response to a particular hazard and discusses unique considerations for the core capabilities

CIA

Core Capability Tabs

Hazard-Specific Appendices

Incident-Specific Appendices

CSZ Appendix
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE CATASTROPHIC INCIDENT ANNEX

Modular Design

- Plan management (revisions and updates)
- Allows for independent development of portions of the plan
- Hazard- and Incident-specific appendix development can pull relevant information from Tabs to identify how the conditions and response considerations are unique to the hazard and identify how the approach needs to modified and additional considerations included/addressed
  - Allows for the creation of subsequent plans without recreating an entire planning process or re-purposing or adapting a different plan
- Easier to read, easier for SMEs to focus on relevant content, easier for adaptation for other stakeholders
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE CATASTROPHIC INCIDENT ANNEX

- **Strategic**
- **Operational**
- **Tactical**

- CIA Base Plan & Tabs
- Appendices
- Checklists, Flow Charts, Decision Matrices, etc.
QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

➢ Does this make sense from your perspective (federal, state agency, tribal partner, local jurisdiction)?

➢ What barriers or limitations do you see with this approach?

➢ What benefits do you see with this approach?
BREAK

WHEN REJOINING THE MEETING, PLEASE REMEMBER:

• ENSURE THAT YOUR VIDEO AND MICROPHONE ARE MUTED UNLESS SPEAKING
• IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLACE THEM IN THE CHAT OR WAIT FOR THE Q&A PORTIONS
• DURING THE Q&A PORTIONS PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND IF MORE THAN ONE PERSON IS TRYING TO SPEAK
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Critical Transportation

- Outreach Workshops per HLS Region
- Execute Outreach

- Send out Priority Routes Survey
- Map State and Local Priority Routes based on survey results

- Route Deconfliction & Verification with counties

- Finalize priority routes
- Send to WSDOT and Commerce (Energy Office)

Future Planning Milestone

- Link Routes with Mass Care Services Planning
- Identify Population Islands

Critical Transportation Workgroup

Critical Transportation Outreach

Critical Transportation Planning

Route Planning Milestones
Planning will continue to work with local jurisdictions to identify their priority routes.

Future planning for other core capabilities will need to revisit priority route planning to ensure alignment.
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**Strategic Goals**

**Life Safety**

**Priority Routes**
1. Identify the routes critical for response including routes to hospitals, emergency services, mass care shelters, CPODs, staging area, and points of entry including connections to adjacent communities, the states “Seismic Lifeline Routes” and air and water ports.
2. Coordinate the deployment of resources that can assist local jurisdictions with assessment and inspection of transportation infrastructure needed for response operations.
3. Coordinate debris clearance from priority routes needed for response operations.

**Incident Stabilization**

**Situational Awareness**
1. Assess the condition of the transportation network starting with priority routes and situational requirements.
2. Determine resource shortfalls.
3. Determine effects to the Community Lifelines due to impacted transportation infrastructure.

**Temporary Repair**
Remove debris and make necessary emergency repairs to reestablish at least one lane of traffic on state priority routes and establish bypass routes where necessary.
QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

From your perspective as a state agency, local jurisdiction, Tribal partner, critical infrastructure provider, NGO, and any other:

➢ What do you anticipate to be a challenge with Priority Route planning?

➢ Should we be making additional considerations in our approach?
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Mass Care Services

- Research Mass Care Material
- Mass Care SME Sessions 1-4

Mass Care Workgroup

Initial Mapping & Regional Outlooks

Mass Care Workgroup

- Development of Statewide Mass Care Presentation Outreach
- Execute Presentations

Mass Care Planning

- Development of Regional Workshop Outreach Material
- Execute Regional Outreach

Mass Care Workgroup

Future Planning Milestone

Map Shelter and CPD Locations

Structure

Utility & Integration

Critical Transportation

Mass Care Services

Infrastructure Systems

Supporting Core Capabilities

Hazard- and Incident-Specific Plans
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Outreach Conclusions
Additional planning is needed at the local levels to identify sheltering sites which meets the demands of a catastrophic incident. To include:

Current State
Updates for the state ESF 6: Mass Care, Emergency Assistance, Temporary Housing and Human Services

Future Goals
Revisit Mass Care Services after planning has occurred for Public Health, Healthcare, and EMS; and Infrastructure Systems

Verification of which shelters would be used

Identification of the [minimum] staffing requirements based on expected shelter establishment

Assessment of what internal capacities exist to staff and supply shelters with locally available resources

Assessment of available resources to provide for Access and Functional Needs mass care services

Resource and capability gap identification
As we continue to address additional core capabilities and functional areas, planning should consider how mass care needs will be influenced by the movement of people.
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE CATASTROPHIC INCIDENT ANNEX

Life Sustaining Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass Care</th>
<th>Emergency Assistance</th>
<th>Temporary Housing</th>
<th>Human Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Shelter • Feeding • Hydration • Bulk Distribution • Emergency First Aid • Disaster Welfare Information</td>
<td>• Mass Evacuation (life sustainment) • General, Specialized, Medical, and nonconventional Shelters • Household Pets and Service Animals • Facilitated Reunification</td>
<td>• Transportation to other locations</td>
<td>• Personal assistance services – case management, especially AFN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These functional areas within Mass Care Services will require the significant planning from state, local, and tribal partners. They should remain at the forefront of our minds as we discuss mass care for catastrophic incidents.
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**Strategic Goals**

**Life Safety**

*Priority Activities*

1. Provide assistance with local and Tribal sheltering needs through available state resources and facilities.
2. Provide assistance with feeding and hydration for local and Tribal needs through procurable resources.
3. Provide assistance with the bulk distribution of disaster supplies to impacted communities through existing government programs and services.
4. Facilitate the movement of mass care resources from donated, procured, and federal sources into local and Tribal staging areas.

**Incident Stabilization**

*Situational Awareness*

1. Monitor shelter conditions across all activated shelters.
2. Monitor and assess sheltering shortfalls for capacity, personnel, equipment, supplies, accessibility, and specialty needs.
3. Continuously assess sheltering needs, food and hydration availability, and bulk distribution through local and Tribal situation reports, the shelter manager or the regional shelter manager/supervisor.
4. Monitor supply chain deficiencies for mass care resources (as reported by local and Tribal jurisdictions, vendors involved in state procurement, and federal logistics support).
QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

From your perspective as a state agency, local jurisdiction, Tribal partner, NGO:

➢ How can the SCIPT support and champion catastrophic mass care planning?

Bonus Question

➢ If an area or community cannot sustain life with available resources, should it be evacuated? Is it feasible? If an entire county had to be evacuated, what’s a realistic timeline?
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Infrastructure Systems

[Water Infrastructure]

- Research Water Infrastructure Systems
  - Water Infrastructure Systems Sessions 1-4

- Development of Statewide Water Infrastructure Presentation
  - Execute Statewide Presentations

- Development of Statewide Mass Care Presentation Outreach
  - Execute Presentations

- Development of Regional Workshop Outreach Material
  - Execute Regional Outreach

Incorporate findings from Water RRAP and replicate process statewide

Future Planning Milestone
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Complete

Water

Wastewater

Energy

Information and Communications Technology

Future Planning Efforts

Structure

Utility & Integration

Critical Transportation

Mass Care Services

Infrastructure Systems

Supporting Core Capabilities

Hazard- and Incident-Specific Plans

“A disaster-ready and resilient Washington State”
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Water Systems are the provider of water services; however, Water Services can be temporarily provided independently to support drinking water needs.

Water Services supplied independently of Water Systems will struggle to support the functionality of healthcare facilities and individual community member needs following a catastrophic incident.
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**Strategic Goals**

**Life Safety**

**Water Services**
1. Provide sufficient and sustained support for water services to meet life-sustainment incident objectives.

**Water Systems**
1. Assist local jurisdictions with the prioritization of water system restoration by those critical consumers who are needed to respond to and stabilize the incident.
2. Identify local jurisdiction resource needs for system restoration and assist to the extent possible under legal guidelines for providing resources to public and private entities.

**Incident Stabilization**

**Water Services**
1. Sustain water resource assistance to protect life and safety of the Whole Community.

**Water Systems**
1. Identify funding sources to assist local jurisdictions in repair of water systems to meet the needs of impacted communities and restore the functionality of the system.
2. Assist local jurisdictions with mutual aid resource requests to restore the functionality of community systems.
3. Assist local jurisdictions with meeting approved water quality standards.
4. Assist local jurisdictions by addressing environmental impacts degrading water source impacts.
### IV. OVERVIEW OF THE CATASTROPHIC INCIDENT ANNEX

#### Response Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short-Term</strong></td>
<td>Provide potable water to impacted jurisdictions which meet immediate life safety needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incident Stabilization</strong></td>
<td>Coordinate the restoration of water quality to approved standards. Provide potable water to impacted jurisdictions which address life sustainment gaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-Term Response</strong></td>
<td>Identify long-term solutions for jurisdictions anticipating extended outages in service. Coordinate and facilitate mutual aid for the restoration of systems. Monitor for and address supply chain disruptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transition to Recovery</strong></td>
<td>Identify triggers and other conditions needed for a transfer to a Recovery Support Function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End State</strong></td>
<td>Provide sufficient and sustained water services to meet life-sustainment incident objectives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

➢ How can we continue to constructively engage critical infrastructure partners in plan development?

➢ What should we not do (or expect to happen)?
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Supporting Core Capabilities
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE CATASTROPHIC INCIDENT ANNEX

Supporting core capabilities are integrated within all primary core capability Tabs and actions and activities within the responsibility section are aligned to each.

---

**Example**

**Mass Care Services— Operational Coordination**

- How coordination is achieved

**Mass Care Services — Situational Assessment**

- Information needs and reporting

**Mass Care Services — Logistics and Supply Chain Management**

- Resource movement to support activities

**Mass Care Services — Operational Communications**

- Communications processes and considerations
Each supporting core capability also has its own Tab

The independent Tabs discuss something specific that doesn’t directly translate to a primary but discusses a concept that is essential during a response or are concepts which broadly apply to all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting Core Capabilities</th>
<th>Hazard- and Incident-Specific Plans</th>
<th>Operational Coordination</th>
<th>Operational Communications</th>
<th>Logistics and Supply Chain Management</th>
<th>Situational Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>• The overarching direction, control, and coordination used to manage incident response and enable actions and activities that take place under all Tabs</td>
<td>• The communications processed used to establish and maintain statewide communications (PACE)</td>
<td>• State and Federal Staging Areas</td>
<td>• Implementation of Community Lifelines as a means of establishing and sustaining situational awareness and as a means of reporting to incident response and policy makers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Hazard- and Incident-specific Appendices

Hazard-Specific
Discusses hazards which could occur in many places and have a number of effects by impact location
- Earthquake
- Tsunami
- Wildfire

Incident-Specific
Tailored to the occurrence of a known hazard that affects specific areas with known impacts
- Cascadia Subduction Zone Earthquake and Tsunami
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I would like to plan for...

Hazard or Incident Type

How would we respond in general...

CIA Base Plan

How will this hazard or incident affect...

Hazard- or Incident-specific Plan

Insert into pre-identified plan structure...

Unique Considerations

Tailored Considerations

Data + Modeling

General information is filtered...

Critical Transportation

Mass Care Services

Fatality Management Services

Public, Health, Healthcare, and EMS

Infrastructure Systems
VI. GOOD OF THE ORDER/OPEN FORUM

1. COMMENTS, FEEDBACK, SUGGESTIONS

WE VALUE YOUR INPUT
## 2022 QUARTERLY SCIPT MEETING SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarterly Meeting</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Venue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>February 10th, 2022</td>
<td>MS Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>April 25th, 2022</td>
<td>MS Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>July 19th, 2022</td>
<td>MS Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>October 18th, 2022</td>
<td>MS Teams</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THANK YOU ATTENDING!

POCS FOR THIS PRESENTATION & SCIPT ACTIVITIES:

TROY NEWMAN
PREPAREDNESS SECTION MANAGER
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION
OFFICE (253) 433-5163
TROY.NEWMAN@MIL.WA.GOV

MICHAEL ROBERSON
PLANNING SUPERVISOR & SCIPT CHAIR
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION
OFFICE: (253) 625-3943
MICHAEL.ROBERSON@MIL.WA.GOV

KIRK HOLMES
SCIPT CO-CHAIR
PERTEET INC.
KIRK.HOLMES@PERTEET.COM

SHANE MOORE
CATASTROPHIC PLANNER
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION
OFFICE: (253) 512-7052
SHANE.MOORE@MIL.WA.GOV

NICHOLE BENARDO
PLANNING COORDINATOR
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION
OFFICE: (253) 691-7422
NICHOLE.BENARDO@MIL.WA.GOV
25 April 2022, 1300-1600 PM
Virtual Teams Meeting
Meeting Notes

Welcome, Administrative Announcements – Shane Moore, EMD

Significant Events and Updates - Shane Moore, WA EMD

Regional Catastrophic Planning Team Updates

- Pierce County – Amy Gillespie, Pierce DEM
  - Pierce County, DEM working on a catastrophic project to sustain survivors after a catastrophic earthquake;
  - Identifying local food production companies and processors;
  - Working with WSDA
  - Looking forward to working with private sector to identify what barriers and restrictions that get in their way and limit their response; and how can government leverage what we have locally to fill in that gap;
  - Will be having 1:1 meetings with key food producers and processors early on
  - Working on opening lines of communication between public and private sector
  - Will decide if existing portals or new portals for information sharing will be most beneficial;
  - Chat Discussion after Pierce County presentation:
    - [1:11 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - There is a lot of work happening right now with public private partnerships and we should ensure that is coordinated with this work; range of work is at the multi-state level for coordination with the private sector
    - [1:12 PM] Roberson, Michael (MIL) - Amy Gillespie This is a great project and I hope to be able to leverage what you learn to do similar projects across the State.
    - [1:12 PM] Amy Gillespie - King, Elizabeth (COM) It would be great to hear more about the work you are referencing, but our work is very focused.
    - [1:17 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - We have been working with OR, ID, and within WA to develop a system/process for the private sector including grocery chains to report out situational awareness with the state we do have an exercise to test this process and the platform this June. The majority of the private sector have requested a single contact to reduce the duplication of their efforts in reporting to the state and filtering info down to the counties.
    - [1:20 PM] Roberson, Michael (MIL) - King, Elizabeth (COM) We would love to see anything you are documenting on there processes.
    - [1:21 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - Alisha is the current state POC for this effort for all other private sector partners beyond energy. We will keep everyone posted as we move through the exercise and the effectiveness of the process and system

- Snohomish County – Amy Lucas, Snohomish DEM
  - Trying to address the gap in the THIRA of distributing food and water;
  - Are in the final year of the project and in discussions with FEMA to get a 6 month extension
Statewide Catastrophic Incident Planning Team (SCIPT)

- Working off worst case scenario of 9.0 earthquake and looking at complete infrastructure damage based on BSST/HSST;
- Review of RCPGP Project Timeline and current status of products coming out of the project.
- Critical Transportation Mapping is focusing on where populations may be isolated after an earthquake based on the REPGP Critical Transportation work.
  - Have included WSDOT, local priority routes, debris clearing information, maritime inputs from bridges to barge traffic;
  - Maritime system including navigable rivers and deep-water inputs being incorporated into a map.
- Viable CPOD site prioritization
  - Developed a site viewer that will allow you to identify CPOD sites and hazards in and near that site;
  - See the Viewer site at: Puget Sound RCPGP CPOD Prioritization Viewer Link - [https://rcpgp-snocone-gis.hub.arcgis.com/apps/f6126aef23b9425b82dc353338df5650/explore](https://rcpgp-snocone-gis.hub.arcgis.com/apps/f6126aef23b9425b82dc353338df5650/explore)
- Question from Shane: Clarification on the weighting system used to identify CPODs within a neighborhood; this is based on 4-5 factors including access and functional needs;
- Question from Shane: Have you thought of any barriers that people that do not have a contracting in place?
  - This would be based on what kind of GIS, network analysis capabilities and ESRI subscriptions you have available, however this could be stripped down based on cost effectiveness and resources available.

- **King County – Sasha Rector, King OEM**
  - 6 Maritime area workshops have already been held starting in February;
    - Developed to bring together maritime and EM communities;
    - Common theme across all 6 workshops was the lack of a communication plan, especially between Maritime and EM Sectors.
    - May 24th 0900-1500 at the Foss Maritime Center, Tacoma will be the next Regional Workshop to delve into the recap of the 6 area workshops and an overview of the CPOD site mapping and maritime mapping;
    - Phase II will be focused on the development of a framework to connect water to CPOD locations;
      - Methods and resources for moving supplies
      - Essential functions
      - Roles/responsibilities
      - Coordinating structures and integration
      - Relationship to other response activities
      - Operational planning
      - Framework sustainment
      - Annexes to include: Workshop summaries; mapping; and additional resources and planning initiatives
  - **Chat Discussion after King County Presentation**
[1:34 PM] Diane Harvey, Clallam County Sheriff’s Office (Guest) - Question for Sasha: Has the Navy engaged with your jurisdiction to identify landing sites? Yes, the Navy has engaged, most of the sites appear to be on the outer coast, not in the Puget Sound Region, however.

[1:36 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - sharing I had connected with the 5th fleet but was informed that no locations would be inside the Puget sound

[1:37 PM] Diane Harvey, Clallam County Sheriff’s Office (Guest) - Perhaps the landing sites on the coast will offer an opportunity for the coast to act as your CPOD

Discussion on Ports: There will be a lot of Port to Port assessments; each port impact changes based on the location of the port;

Follow up Discussion

- Eli King: The Private Sector is requesting that reporting for their status is to the state, they feel reporting to 39 different counties or even regionally and to other states will be too much for them to be able to do, so they are requesting a singular state contact.

[1:47 PM] Roberson, Michael (MIL) - King, Elizabeth (COM) Are you looking at how to incorporate the concept of community lifelines into your efforts to gather and share private sector information?

[1:48 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - yes that is how we are doing that work; and FEMA X is involved and is supportive of this process

Next Steps for Catastrophic Planning – Shane Moore, WA EMD

- Review of CIA consists of Base Plan and Several tabs, and then a CSZ appendix
- A survey was sent out to identify who wants to review which portion of the plan so we can identify which parts of the plan needs more review
- Executive Summary – is meant to include products that can be used to be the bridge from the plan to tactical level activities;
- CR22 will take place in June
- Remaining Core Capabilities will be addressed in July and Beyond

Overview of the Catastrophic Incident Annex – Shane Moore, WA EMD

- Structure Overview:
  - CIA is the base plan – the overall approach to a catastrophic incident
  - Tabs – a way to focus on the core capabilities and how we look at them in the scope of the other supporting core capabilities.

Overview of the Catastrophic Incident Annex – Shane Moore, WA EMD

- Critical Transportation Work is ongoing, it is the core capability that has been developed out the furthest, but there is still unfinished work to be done.
  - Will be looking specifically to see how critical transportation ties in with Mass Care, where CPODS, and Shelters are and how they relate to the critical transportation priority routes.
  - Eli King commented on the development of the priority route layers for local jurisdictions as a service from the state planning team was extremely helpful in her planning efforts for the state fuel plan and she would like to see that effort continue forward.
  - Further chat discussion on priority routes:
Statewide Catastrophic Incident Planning Team (SC IPT)

- [2:36 PM] Hanson, Johanna (COM) - has there been consideration RE electrical vehicles; especially in terms of evacuation routes?
- [2:37 PM] Benardo, Nichole (MIL) - Hanson, Johanna (COM) Actually that has never been brought up in any of our meetings thus far. Is there an electrical vehicle outlet charging layer that is available?
- [2:38 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - We are developing that with our WEIAT tool
- [2:38 PM] Hanson, Johanna (COM) - Benardo, Nichole (MIL), It’s sort of new, but I’ve been hearing people starting to think about this as infrastructure expands
- [2:39 PM] Tyler Braunz - Nichole did an awesome job with the GIS work following Pierce County's critical transportation workshop!
- [2:39 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - in the next 5 years it will continue to increase; and we are here to support this effort going forward; We are working on the mapping for petroleum and EV charging infrastructure, and will encourage counties to start fuel planning after CR22 and again here to support those efforts
  - Michael Roberson (WA EMD) – The discussion on energy and charging stations is a good topic as we move forward with other planning efforts and this shows the interconnectedness of the core capabilities; Further, we will be developing community profiles to help identify capabilities and gaps in the regions.
  - Mass Care work is ongoing; this core capability has been developed out, but considerable work is still needed. Mass Care will require more input for other core capabilities, and it will require us to go back to it several times;
    - [2:55 PM] Schmit, Lucia - Are you factoring the potential impacts of self-evacuating populations on the priority routes?
    - Response from Shane – it has been brought up, but more work is needed to identify the potential impacts.
    - [2:58 PM] Banks, Dan - We need data to develop that. We don't have the data to develop this from. State Priority Routing is based on daily road use numbers. This is the only proven data point that we can work from,
    - Lucia – this might be logistically impossible getting people off the routes and keeping them from clogging up the roadways hindering emergency responders.
    - Questions/Discussion from Shane: If an area or community cannot sustain life with available resources, should it be evacuated? Is it feasible? What is a realistic timeline?
      - [3:06 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - similar from Katrina
      - [3:06 PM] Diane Harvey, Clallam County Sheriff's Office (Guest) - Regional Storage Facility for mass care supplies
      - [3:08 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - We (the state) can help support the locals in the planning for an evacuation, that is something we will be doing for fuel/EV/other fuels
      - [3:08 PM] Hanson, Johanna (COM) - out of curiosity, are East side counties already expecting evacuees and preparing for an influx of people?
[3:09 PM] Finley, Stephen - safety is a key word here

Further Discussion from Maximilian Dixon, WA EMD Geohazards – this is a good discussion, something that has just come up is to provide relevant instructions to those that have evacuate using vertical evacuation structures. Once they evacuate to the structure, there will be instructions on the tower to provide the next information.

[3:11 PM] Roberson, Michael (MIL) - We are in the process, through a FEMA contractor, of developing a plan for how the State can support locally driven large-scale evacuations during a catastrophic incident.

[3:11 PM] Kirk Holmes - Authorities aside, trying to understand evacuation behaviors if very difficult to comprehend in the PNW, but necessary to discuss.

Diane Harvey – Clallam County Sheriff’s Office; this question hits hard, because in a Cascadia event, we will probably be divided into 20 micro islands. The struggle with planning for this kind of event is the micro-island issue and only one functioning airport. In our region, we do not have a FEMA storage facility. It would be important to have a FEMA storage facility, especially for mass care.

[3:15 PM] Kirk Holmes - Hanson, Johanna (COM) in my visits with the group from HLS #7, they are not expecting any evacuees, more support of working with partners to deliver life-sustaining supplies through the standard transportation systems.

[3:17 PM] Grant, Katelyn - We have left the door open in our federal planning to include contra-flow, evacuation support from the FSAs and APODs. More work needs to happen to refine but we did look at it.

Diane Harvey – How is the airport going to be used by the Federal government and what that looks like for the local jurisdiction has not been discussed with the local jurisdictions between FEMA and the local jurisdictions.

[3:18 PM] Fordice, Justin - There will be heavy use of the federal staging areas (FSAs), incident staging bases (ISBs), and aerial points of debarkation (APODs) to move resources into the state staging areas (SSAs). The FEMA Region 10 CSZ response plan published in February outlines the use of these through the operational phases 2a, 2b, 2c. This plan is being tested in a Rehearsal of Concept Drill next week.

Robert Sabarese – I am wondering about this question. Is this question about sustaining life or the cost to repair and restore the resources to continue to sustaining life vs the ethical questions about sustaining life itself?

Michael Roberson, WA EMD – At some point our primary goal is life saving and life sustaining activities in the immediate response, and when you hit certain thresholds with restoring lifelines that you are not in a state of emergency, then you are looking at into those transitions into recovery and what a long term recovery looks like. In terms of our planning effort, we are really focusing on those initial phases of response.
and then what that transition to recover looks like and that is the bulk of what you will see in the CIA.

- Lucia Schmit – for Seattle, we are concerned with water. If those water mains for Seattle rupture, there is no way we can truck in that much water for that many people. At a certain point, that would be a decision that would help us determine that we cannot sustain a population here and must move them elsewhere.

- Shane Moore – dense urban areas are a huge consideration. You will use what is in your system in 24 hours and portable systems will not provide the necessary sustainment; and the repair could take years; Not just Seattle, but Tacoma and any dense populations relying on broken infrastructure.

- Kirk Holmes, SCIPT Co-Chair – Your question is an excellent planning assumption for an RCPG for the water supply forum to assess this even further; they have done a good job with the planning so far, but have identified some gaps, including the wheeling of water from system to system and the interconnectedness of the water systems;
  - Also wanted to talk about the evacuation question, and the topic of West to East evacuation – but the reality is we are not expecting to see “refugees” walking on the interstate to the East side of the state, so that is not an appropriate planning assumption/ I have questioned many SMEs on the expected behavior on the general population after a CSZ event – has there been any studies and what can we expect people to do; and this question is still something we need to find out.
  - [3:27 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - There are studies of evacuation behaviors from hurricanes
  - [3:28 PM] Banks, Dan - I agree with Kirk's assessment. The bigger problem for East of the Cascades will be in the influx responders and other "help."
  - [3:29 PM] Roberson, Michael (MIL) - We have some case studies of what has happened in some similar disasters that effect both critical infrastructure and supply chains.
  - [3:29 PM] King, Elizabeth (COM) - If you look at the studies from Katrina of displaced individuals and families I think might be a good example of how people leave an area an do not return
  - [3:30 PM] Schmit, Lucia - That aligns with my assumptions for Seattle--our newer transplants/people who have family elsewhere and the means to leave--will do so
  - [3:30 PM] Roberson, Michael (MIL) - Most people are going to move to a place they perceive as safe.
Statewide Catastrophic Incident Planning Team (SCIPT)

- Improved Response to Disasters and Outbreaks by Tracking Population Movements with Mobile Phone Network Data: A Post-Earthquake Geospatial Study in Haiti
- Infrastructure Systems - Water Systems and water Services work is ongoing, there is still more to go. The Public health, healthcare and EMS will reveal more considerations for water
  - What can we look to do for an infrastructure type that is owned locally or publicly?
    - Focus on services that are life safety necessary.
      - Supply the resource itself is most important consideration
      - Work with local jurisdictions and their priorities and see who they are re-establishing connections with

Good of the Order/Open Forum – Shane Moore, EMD

- No comments from the Good of the Order discussion;
- Michael Roberson (WA EMD), thank you for the work the planning team has done to develop the plan and workgroups, but especially thank you to the participants of this meeting for guidance on the plan, the time and effort in this process. We look forward to the continued partnership in ways in which we can support each other to better serve the people of the state.
- Shane Moore (WA EMD)
  - Thank you all for the excellent discussion today! I look forward to taking this enthusiasm into the individual workgroups.