CODE REVISER USE ONLY



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: April 14, 2022 TIME: 12:27 PM

WSR 22-09-044

Agency: Military Depa	rtment				
☑ Original Notice					
Supplemental Noti	ice to WSR				
□ Continuance of WSR					
☑ Preproposal State	ment of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR <u>21-24</u>	l-003 ; or		
Expedited Rule Ma	akingProp	osed notice was filed as WS	SR; or		
Proposal is exemp	t under RC	W 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330	(1); or		
Proposal is exemp					
Title of rule and other records.	r identifying	g information: (describe subj	ect) WAC 323-10-070, Costs of providing copies of public		
Hearing location(s):					
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:		
May 24, 2022	10:00 AM	Teams Meeting: https://bit.ly/3OrmNCv	In response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID- 19) public health emergency, the Military Department will not provide a physical location for this hearing in order to promote social distancing and the safety of the citizens of Washington state. A virtual public hearing, without a physical meeting space, will be held instead. Please join the hearing online at the Microsoft Team link provided here.		
Date of intended ado	ption: May	24, 2022 (Note: This is NOT			
Submit written comm	nents to:				
Name: Cynthia Whaley	/				
Address: Building 1, C	amp Murray	y. WA 98430			
Email: Cynthia.whaley	@mil.wa.go	V			
Fax: n/a					
Other:					
By (date) <u>May 03, 202</u> 2					
Assistance for person		abilities:			
Contact Cynthia Whale	<u>ey</u>				
Phone: 253-640-4966					
Fax:					
TTY:	@mil.wo.co				
Email: <u>Cynthia.whaley</u> Other:	<u>@mii.wa.go</u>	<u>v</u>			
By (date) <u>May 03, 202</u> 2	2				
by (date) <u>intay 00, 2022</u>	<u> </u>				

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Prior to the adoption of the emergency rule CR-103E filed November 17, 2021, the Military Department has not required public records requesters to pay for the costs of copies as permitted by RCW 42.56.120, as amended in 2017 by EHB 1596. The purpose of this proposed permanent rule is to allow the Department to charge statutory fees under RCW 42.56.120 and mitigate current costs to the agency in responding to public records requests.

Reasons supporting proposal: Engrossed House Bill 1596 (2017) authorized agencies to assess statutory costs for copies of public records if the agency has adopted a rule or regulation declaring the reasons that determining the actual cost of copies would be unduly burdensome. The Military Department is initiating permanent rulemaking that would make that determination and accordingly authorize the Military Department to assess statutory costs for copies, as permitted by RCW 42.56.120.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 42.56.120

Statute being implemented: RCW 42.56.120

Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? □ Yes ⊠ No Federal Court Decision? □ Yes ⊠ No State Court Decision? □ Yes ⊠ No If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

Nome of presses				
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington State Military Department			Private Dublic	
			□ Public ⊠ Governmental	
N		f	Governmental	
Name of agency	personnel responsible f			
	Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting:	Cynthia Whaley	Building 1, Camp Murray. WA 98430	253-640-4966	
Implementation:	Cynthia Whaley	Building 1, Camp Murray. WA 98430	253-640-4966	
Enforcement:	Cynthia Whaley	Building 1, Camp Murray. WA 98430	253-640-4966	
If yes, insert state	•	ent required under RCW 28A.305.135?	🗆 Yes 🛛 No	
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	5:	nool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:		
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Is a cost-benefit	analysis required under	• RCW 34.05.328?		
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Is a cost-benefit	analysis required under			
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Is a cost-benefit	analysis required under eliminary cost-benefit anal	• RCW 34.05.328?		
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Is a cost-benefit Name:	analysis required under eliminary cost-benefit anal	• RCW 34.05.328?		

-	TTY:								
	Email:								
	Other:								
⊠ No:	No: Please explain: The proposal is not a significant legislative rule for the purposes of RCW 34.05.328.								
Regulator	ry Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Sm	all Busin	ess Economic Impact Statement:						
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exe 9.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applica		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see ption(s):						
🗆 This ru	le proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	pt under F	RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being						
			lations. Please cite the specific federal statute or						
regulation adopted.	this rule is being adopted to conform or comply	with, and	describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not						
	nd description:								
	•	pt becaus	e the agency has completed the pilot rule process						
defined by	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this p	roposed r	ule.						
		pt under t	he provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was						
	y a referendum.								
□ This ru	le proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	pt under F	RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:						
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)						
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)						
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)						
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)						
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)						
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process						
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)						
🗆 This ru	le proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	pt under F	RCW						
	on of exemptions, if necessary:								
	COMPLETE THIS SECTION								
If the prop									
II the prop	losed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-tr	ian-minor	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?						
I 🛛 No	Briefly summarize the agency's analysis show	vina how a	osts were calculated. Per RCW 42.56.120, costs are						
		-	public records, printed copies of electronic public records						
			of agency equipment to photocopy public records;						
Ten ce records		electronic	format or for the use of agency equipment to scan the						
	ents per each four electronic files or attachment u of electronic delivery; and	iploaded t	o email, cloud-based data storage service, or other						

Ten cents per gigabyte for the transmission of public records in an electronic format or for the use of agency equipment to send the records electronically. The agency shall take reasonable steps to provide the records in the most efficient manner available to the agency in its normal operations.

□ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name: Address: Phone: Fax:

TTY: Email: Other:		
Date: April 14, 2022	Signature:	0
Name: Cynthia Whaley		Pa
Title: Public Records Officer/ Rules Coordinator		8