Statewide Catastrophic Incident Planning Team (SCIPT)
27 June 2018, 10:00 AM – 3:30 PM, at Camp Murray in Building 20

MEETING AGENDA

I. Welcome and Introductions

II. Lessons Learned from Puerto Rico: Guest Speaker Jackie Gladish – FEMA

III. Discussion: Re-establishing Ground Rules & Purpose of the SCIPT

IV. Break for Lunch (lunch is not provided)

V. SCIPT Work Session
   o Review and Update Charter
     ▪ Expectations
     ▪ Roles and responsibilities
     ▪ Succession planning
     ▪ Members
   o SCIPT Roster
   o RCPGP Documents: Review, Updates, Ownership, and Maintenance Schedule
   o Version 2 of the Catastrophic Incident Planning Framework
     ▪ Work plan and timeline
     ▪ Expectations
   o Seminars and Road Shows
     • SCIPT and Framework Overview
       • Posted online on the new external SharePoint
       • “15 minute elevator talk”
     • Framework for Planners and SMEs
       • Regional flavor for seminars – need to work with each region to define
       • Focus of the seminar is for planners and SMEs to write their plan(s)
       • Online and In-Person (as requested)
     • Framework Strategies
       • State agencies/ESFs provide input for each strategy
       • State agencies/ESFs can lead these
   o Catastrophic Incident Planning Presentations
     ▪ WSEMA
     ▪ Partners in Emergency Preparedness
     ▪ Others?
VI. Cascadia Rising Exercise 2022

VII. Eastern Washington Catastrophic Incident Planning Discussion
   o How will the Cascadia Subduction Zone Earthquake impact eastern Washington?
   o What resources will eastern Washington need?
   o How can eastern Washington support a response to a Cascadia Subduction Zone Earthquake in western Washington?

VIII. Administrative Items
   a. Good of the Order/Open Forum
   b. Next Meeting

Point of Contact: Serena Segura – WA EMD, (253) 512-7120, serena.segura@mil.wa.gov
Conference Line: 1-877-820-7831, 652840 (pin code)
SCIPT Meeting Notes

Lessons Learned from Puerto Rico

Jackie Gladish, Chief of Operations
FEMA Region X, Response Division
425-487-4625 (office)
425-241-8683 (cell)
Jackie.gladish@fema.dhs.gov

Discussion: Re-establishing Ground Rules & Purpose of the SCIPT
The first hurdle was getting the Framework published
What is the next hurdle to tackle?
What are the next items to take on?
Revisit the core purpose of the SCIPT and member

RCPGP Efforts continued (WSDOT)

Private enterprise representative needed (USNORTHCOM)

Vetting for state and federal plans and clearing house for feedback (DOH & WSDOT)
What are the things that need to be looked at – minimize scope. What works in the plans. (King)

Lots of information still needed in the Framework – need to do the next version of the plan and flush it out. Need to do the workshops to get the education on the Framework out. We had a path forward, and want to stay on that path. (Thurston)

Framework scaffolding for locals – locals can only plan for so much and expect stuff to arrive. Need gap analysis and identify capabilities. Lots of gap analysis – THIRA/HIVA – what are all the gap analysis being done? This group can identify those and start to simplify them/process.

This is the only forum [for feds] to hear state and local planning/concerns. It is better to hear than to read in a plan. USNORTHCOM committed to come to these meetings and spend 70% listening and 30% talking.

Idea that we formed a workgroup to flesh out the logistics and supply chain – just scratching the surface on these. There are other bodies that represent the other core capabilities that need to inform the other core capabilities (i.e. mass care). How do we get their input into this document (Framework). Transportation is a missing element. The critical infrastructure should inform those sections (of the Framework). Using the RRAP (Seattle – Elenka)
*Keep this as a multi-org/multi-level group
Do we have a list of things that need to be done? Doodle Poll, Sticky Notes on Chart – Understand what the timeline – what the timeframe looks like now? How does the joint plan influence? What are the top priorities? Framework needs to get to completion to move us forward.

When started this – on a 12 year – now more. Looking at this in 3 phases. Framework gives structure for phase 1 strategies. State agencies need to build plans that support ESFs/functionalities. Locals need to build plans around the functional areas. This is the starting point for Cascadia 22. These plans will be
exercised in some form then the functional exercise on a scalable basis to look at the planning we’ve
done and then make adjustments. Phase 2 is a 3-year period, and add to the Catastrophic Framework
and validated by the SCIPT. Then Phase 3 activities create a complete plan. Some of the work that the
SCIPT did early on was contingency options and insert in the functionality approach we are at now.
Interested in hearing comments from SCIPT members on the work plan of the 15-year vision into yearly
bites, and make sure there are usable products coming out. Work together in integrating plans
vertically. Use SCIPT and other data to fold into state and federal plans. Excited for the RRAP to build
plans for better resiliency. There will be a carryover from the Oregon RRAP that focuses on aviation that
we will be able to use. Food distribution – potential for some detailed planning efforts that will help
local jurisdictions and state craft what we need from the nation to support ourselves – taking on food,
medical, and fuel. Reduce the impact of the incident. Send ideas to EMD so they can be discussed and
considered. (John)

Jason – advocate for expanding membership. Excited for FEMA Planners to be part of. Now is a good
time to think about how to expand the group and input.

Who do we want to add private sector. There is no process for rotating people out of the SCIPT and
bringing in new people. (Michael)

Who needs to be on the SCIPT based on the way forward? (WSDOT) Filling in the gaps for the
Framework, data collection tool, socializing the Framework, road shows for the data collection tool, and
process for analyzing the data to include in plans for capabilities and gaps. November/December
working session to identify the next years work plan and who is going to champion the projects. Possibly
identify the bigger picture of what the SCIPT is. (Michael)

There are different audiences for each of the products – i.e. road shows is for the local jurisdictions and
the SCIPT does not have a role – EMD and WANG. SCIPT focus on the next version of the Framework and
look forward to the exercise planning. (King)

Question: the outreach belongs to WANG and EMD is the assumption. Do local jurisdictions have the
time to participate in the outreach and answer questions on the development of the Framework?
Interested? See the tool more interactive than just filling it out, and will help to get to the detailed
planning that is needed. (John)

King County has a different rollout plan because they have a lot of stakeholders. See the data collection
tool as an EMD/WANG product, but local jurisdictions should have the opportunity to participate.

Socialization responsibly (Framework):

Statewide operation capability – need tangible details now (DOH)

Goal is to have catastrophic plans, then once the plans are done then take on projects (i.e. fuel), and
what does it look like for this plan to be tested. (Michael)

Socialize plan – if we don’t solidify objectives then they will fail when tested.

Backwards planning to 2022 – For Cascadia Rising 2016 there was 2 years of planning. Between
socializing and data collection that really leaves about a year for planning and that may not be enough
time. Work responsibilities of other organizations may not support this timeline. (WSDOT) Assumption is
that everyone is working on catastrophic planning after Cascadia Rising 2016. (John) One of the findings
of Cascadia Rising 2016 was there was no plan. There is a lot of planning that is going on behind the
scenes. Can portions of plans be written between now and the exercise? The last three AARs are nearly
identical (SoundShake, Evergreen Quake, and Cascadia Rising). We can write plans, train to it, etc., but
want it to align with the Framework. If socialization is part of the responsibility of the SCIPT

Guidance given to John: time is of the essence when we go into response. There are 5 elements that will
turn the response, transportation, energy, mass care, public health and medical, energy, operational
communication is critical to those, resource ordering piece is aligned to phase 2 of the catastrophic
continuum. EMAG made some progress with ordering. Details are not there. The priorities that the
leadership have given are those 5 areas to begin the catastrophic planning efforts. The Framework is
good enough to start planning but not good enough to operationalize. It is a significant step forward, but
need the time to plan. We need to move forward on the socialization, and working with the WANG to
move it forward.

These are huge questions to answer and socialization is an additional huge ask. This is causing
frustration, and there isn’t the capacity to do it all. Don’t have the manpower to do what we want to do
in the timeframe. If there isn’t enough manpower then need to go to Olympia and make the political
case. (1984-1997 earthquake studies only) Washington is behind BC, OR, and CA. If we can’t get the
resources from the legislature, then no forward movement. (Jim Buck)

3 proposals for the governor’s budget – 9 FTEs for preparedness, reauthorization of funding to support
HAZMAT (asking to open up to include catastrophic planning), and a state individual assistance program.
(John) The legislation will only pay attention when you make it worth their while. (Jim)

Direction forward: separate the socialization piece and clarifying what that entails – webinars/videos.
Larger road ahead: would like to see SCIPT select 2 of the items from the Framework to move forward –
recommend Critical Transportation and Utilities. The lead state agencies tied to the other help lead
groups with statewide groups. Original intent was to stay higher level at the SCIPT and not in the weeds
like the data collection tool does.

Region 2 – climate problems – transportation and utilities will not be critical during a CSZ.
Sheltering/Mass Care will be their priority.

Cascadia feels too theoretical. Hard to imagine.

Calvary may not be able to come at the levels we expect. (Kitsap)

Is the focus restoration? (King) Utilities will be a restoration effort to keep people alive.

Operational planning led by state agency to bring stakeholders together to tackle single issues. Is this it a
local, regional, or state effort? King County it is a regional effort.

Infrastructure Protection Meeting – Tristan runs, Serena and Michael participate. Energy mostly private
and Jill leads. Use these types of groups for data/answers. Can test this and move the needle forward
(DOH).
Common assumption: when it happens people want to go home and check on their families/resources. Similar to 9/11. (Kitsap)

Stick to planning assumptions – don’t make new ones. Stay consistent throughout the process. (King)

Next steps: King County initiative include Framework and AARs. Holding up cities for their planning. Waiting for WANG data collection tool and completion of Region 1 Pilot Project. (King) EMD staff to communicate timeline/progress of the data collection tool and road shows. (John) The data collection tool may have been sent to Whatcom County/Bellingham (Jim) Serena to check on status.

Need to know the updated timeline for locals to do their advanced planning.

Socialization – socialize the Framework or wait for the data collection tool. Data collection tool replaced seminar for planners/SMEs. Framework has been socialized through Robert’s email and presentations at the Partners in Emergency Preparedness Conferences. (Seattle)

What does EMD want the outcome to be? The state to have a plan that has the things that we plan to do. Then the locals have a plan that has things they plan to do. Data collection tool is important and a stop gap so the state knows what the locals’ capabilities and gaps are identified.

Not clear where and what is coming into each county. Is missing in plans and communication. If the state/feds are not waiting for the local to request, then the locals need to know what is coming and where. Need to know how resources are being prioritized when exceeding resource availability within the nation and competing with all impacted (UASI [King/Pierce/Snohomish + 2 major cities] will need more resources than available in the US). It would be useful as a planner if the state/feds can tell when/how/what resources will be available on a timeframe. State/feds need to have gaps identified and work on those things. We need to move the needle. Leverage the Framework and be very specific. The assumption is that it is all broken until we know.

WSDOT working on level 1 routes. If locals know those routes it could drive their plans. Use the SCIPT meeting has the forum for sharing.

WECCWG presentation – helped private sector with planning assumptions. Has been to the major populations, but now considerations for where the population may go or are at. (MIL IT)

17,000 water districts – 4 largest water systems – 1 of the 4 (acceptable performance level is 14 days) – may not be potable, but can be boiled/treated. One is 60 days and one at 30 days. The concept – how do we build the resiliency. There are other solutions that may be available. Need to focus on interdependencies instead of the solution. The data collection tool should drive the planning to the details.

Planning efforts – are the questions being answered by the “right people?” There are planning efforts happening in other areas and the emergency managers are not answering the questions.

Focus on one area and have the right people at the meeting. July 10th email Serena with your ideas on what strategy you want to work on.

Outreach – make sure the right people are in attendance to answer questions.
Tribal Conference – Lis to send date

HLS coordinator to conduct presentation to right audience. EMD to do webinar presentations. Luke to support.

**Action Items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector in ESF14 FEMA Announcement</td>
<td>Jackie Gladish</td>
<td>Serena Segura to SCIPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA 7 Life Lines</td>
<td>Jackie Gladish</td>
<td>Serena Segura to SCIPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection tool and road show status from WANG</td>
<td>Serena Segura</td>
<td>SCIPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas on what strategy to focus on</td>
<td>SCIPT</td>
<td>Serena Segura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and comment on Charter</td>
<td>SCIPT</td>
<td>Serena Segura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach out/invite private sector and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>