

"A disaster ready and resilient Washington State"

State Auditor's Office Report



"A disaster ready and resilient Washington State"

SAO Performance Audit

- Audit Report
 - https://portal.sao.wa.gov/ReportSearch/Home/ViewReportFile?arn=1023742&isFinding=false&sp=false
- Seven recommendations for potential improvement
 - Define roles and responsibilities of the EMD liaison
 - Assist IMTs by coordinating regional training sessions
 - Work w/local jurisdictions to identify and resolve WebEOC software issues
 - Identify opportunities to use WebEOC more frequently
 - Develop and operate a statewide credentialing program
 - Develop ways to make EMD's meetings more effective opportunities
 - Establish a regional coordinators program or other mechanism to maintain relationships



"A disaster ready and resilient Washington State"

EMAG Small Workgroup Outbrief

From 7 February 2019



"A disaster ready and resilient Washington State"

EMAG Workgroup

Purpose:

- Identify opportunities for improving emergency management service delivery state-wide
- Identify resource needs and requirements to meet service delivery goals

• Tasks:

- Develop common language and terminology for use state-wide to ensure "one-voice" communication
- Identify strategic options for improving statewide emergency management service delivery

• Timeline

- 7 FEB: Brief EMAG on workgroup activities
- 8 FEB 8 MAR: Open comment period to include COA recommendation
- 9 MAR 8 APR: Refine strategy
- 17/18 APR: Present outputs to the EM community during Partners in Preparedness week
- 22 APR 28 JUN: Identify workgroup team members
- JUL 2019 APR 2020: Execute project work plans
- APR 2020: Present outputs during Partners in Preparedness week
- APR SEP 2020:
 - Develop legislation and decision packages for submission
 - Execute RCW/WAC updates (as necessary)
 - Develop implementation plan for actions not requiring RCW/WC revision, legislation, and/or decision packages



"A disaster ready and resilient Washington State"

Courses of Action (COA)

COA 1: Action Items – All must and should implement activities

COA 2: Action Items – All must Implement and B

COA 3: Action Items -2, 3, B

Must Implement

- 1. Centers of Excellence
- 2. Standardize and Align Pre-disaster processes with Post-disaster processes
- 3. Review/update RCW 38.52 and WACs
- 4. Regionalized Service Delivery

Should Implement

- A. Resiliency Office reporting to the Governor's Office
- B. Funding methods coupled with roles and responsibilities



"A disaster ready and resilient Washington State"

Feedback rec'd

- EM Consortiums (as reported by Scott Johnson):
 - Support COA 3
- HLS Region 7 (as reported by Sandi Duffey):
 - 3 counties support COA 1
 - 1 county supports COA 2
 - 1 county no response
- HLS Region 8 (as reported by Deanna Davis):
 - Center of Excellence (COE) and Regionalized Service Delivery viewed as "not favorable"
 - Expressed multiple concerns on the concepts of COE and regionalization and perhaps these concepts would favor larger west-side counties
 - View regionalization as a path similar to PHEPR in DOH, which is viewed as hurting more than helping
- HLS Region 9 (as reported by Chandra Fox):
 - Support COA 3
 - Recommend a less "academic" definition regarding Emergency Management



"A disaster ready and resilient Washington State"

Open Discussion

- Issues:
 - 1. As a result of this process, no COA stood out there is no clear #1
 - 2. No clear definition(s) stood out

Bottom line: no consensus

- How important is it to local EMs that we have an agreed upon definition for:
 - What is Emergency Management?
 - What does an Emergency Manager do?
 - What risk do we accept for not having definition consensus?
- What are County/City EM expectations for the strategic direction we take as a group and how long it should take to develop a plan?
 - As our association, should WSEMA take lead and EMD supports?
 - Should EMD take lead with WSEMA in support?
 - Should EMD take lead with City/Counties in support (but not necessarily as part of WSEMA)?
 - How do we align strategic activities to achieve a common strategic direction between EMD, WSEMA and EMs?
 - With finite resources staff, time and money how selective should we be about the initiatives we take on and what we pour our collective and collaborative energy into.
- What are the most important concerns/issues, that have not already been addressed, that we should be looking at or working together to identify possibly solutions?