

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ADVISORY GROUP (EMAG)

MINUTES

9/1/2016 ~ KCECC ~ CAMP MURRAY ~ 1:00 PM – 4:00 PM

Meeting called to order by Robert Ezelle, EMD Director, 1:00 PM

In Attendance – Introduction of Attendees

Scanned sign in sheet following minutes. "*" = Phone participant

1-877-820-7831 Host: 7282990 Participant: 125896

Members

- | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Randy August | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jason Biermann* | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JoAnn Boggs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eric Brooks* |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deanna Davis-Ab Ex | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sandi Duffey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Robert Ezelle | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Barb Graff* |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tory Green | <input type="checkbox"/> Kurt Hardin | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scott Heinze | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PattiJean Hooper |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walt Hubbard | <input type="checkbox"/> Gary Jenkins-Ab Ex | <input type="checkbox"/> Ed Lewis | <input type="checkbox"/> Ada McDaniel |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scott McDougall | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Larry Robinette* | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lee Shipman | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chuck Wallace |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ute Weber | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jay Weise* | | |

Supporting Staff

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dan Banks | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lit Dudley | <input type="checkbox"/> J. Hollingsworth | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alysha Kaplan |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rob Lang | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> T.J.Rajcevich | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kristin Ramos | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jennifer Schaal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> John Ufford | | | |

Guests/Other Attendees

- | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Butch Aiken | <input type="checkbox"/> MG Daugherty | <input type="checkbox"/> Barnaby Dow | <input type="checkbox"/> Wanda Tsosie |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|

Call to Order-Introductions-Opening Comments

Robert Ezelle

1. Comments

- Robert Ezelle opened the meeting with introductions by on-site and phone participants

2. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes

- Motion to Approve as amended: Walt Hubbard
- Second: Chuck Wallace
- Discussion: "Ted" talks and not "Tent" talks throughout document
 - Page 3: "Where does the money go, and not "where does the month go"
- Vote for Approval: Unanimous

Action Request Report Outs by Line Number**All**

- 34 ➤ EMAG invitation email for EMAG participation *Robert Ezelle*
- 35 ○ Talked to Kent Sisson and he will stay on as an EMAG member and will try to attend meetings as
- 36 time and scheduling permits
- 37
- 38 ➤ EMAG web site sharing with Pullman *Kristin Ramos*
- 39 ○ Done – Sent to Gary and he sent the link to his local partners
- 40
- 41 ➤ EMAG Charter feedback and Charter edits *All*
- 42 ○ Kristin to strike the reference to ESCA and maintain consortium verbiage in the Charter.
- 43 ○ Tribal verbiage discussion
- 44 ■ Lee Shipman would like tribal representation by someone who works in tribal emergency
- 45 management
- 46 ■ Kristin Ramos to update the Charter to “The Director will appoint two EMAG members
- 47 who are Washington Tribal Government Emergency Management employees to provide a
- 48 tribal perspective. They provide only their own perspective and do not speak for other
- 49 tribes.”
- 50 ○ PattiJean suggested adding establish, develop, or create
- 51 ■ Kristin Ramos to update to “Collaboratively develops, maintains, and enhances” (page 1,
- 52 2.C.)
- 53 ■ The Charter should be updated at the beginning of each state fiscal year.
- 54 ■ Demographic charts to be updated effective July 1 each year.
- 55 ● Lee Shipman would not like to use census numbers because the numbers being
- 56 reported by census data reflect erroneous tribal numbers. Tribes are often the largest
- 57 employer of the local county.
- 58 ● Robert Ezelle would like to know how to determine tribal demographics, and this
- 59 subject will be open for discussion at the November meeting, to be added as an
- 60 agenda item, when more tribal representation is present.
- 61 ● Robert Ezelle would like a consistent approach for tribal and non-tribal
- 62 representation counts, but Lee Shipman stated that would be challenging, but Robert
- 63 Ezelle would like a starting point.
- 64 ● Randy August reiterated that there are accuracy issues regarding smaller
- 65 populations versus larger populations when considering tribal populations.
- 66 ● Larry Robinette would like to look at what has changed and what is constant.
- 67 Sometimes the counts are population, and sometimes area. EMAG should consider
- 68 the number of counties and the number of tribes.
- 69 ● Barb Graff stated conversation around EMPG methodology was one of the guiding
- 70 forces behind the development of the EMAG. Robert Ezelle said the EMAG has
- 71 shifted to the collaborative approach to problem solving
- 72
- 73 ➤ Tribal participation and meeting block scheduling *Kristin Ramos*
- 74 ○ Kristin Ramos met with Casey Broom, and following the EMAG meeting, Robert Ezelle will meet
- 75 with Lee Shipman following this meeting to discuss the path forward, with Casey Broom and
- 76 Kristin Ramos providing support as decisions and direction are provided.
- 77

- 78 ○ (Due Out #11) Email verbiage for tribal participation *Robert Ezelle & Lee Shipman*
79 ▪ Robert Ezelle will meet with Lee Shipman to discuss appropriate message verbiage.

81 ➤ Regional Concept approach through SCIPT

Dan Banks

- 82 ○ The focus will be operational, with reviewing the present regional breakout to determine the best
83 approach. Jason Biermann and Dan Banks are moving forward with the concept.
- 84 ○ Walt Hubbard would like to know the value of the Regional Concept approach. Robert Ezelle
85 explained the challenge for the the state is that catastrophic incidents are of such a large scale, that
86 current processes do not provide an effective way of coordinating the response. For example, local
87 jurisdiction conference calls pose challenges. This situation comes down to span of control. An
88 example is having a regionl coordination structure, such as Region 2, working with three counties
89 and the impacted tribes to identify the resource requests and act as a consolidating entity. This
90 approach is an intent to provide an improved level of assistance.
- 91 ○ Walt Hubbard stated there is an implication about how the distribution of resources is going to
92 occur. Dan Banks is still considering command and control, and will expand the concept work to
93 include distribution of resources. Jason Biermann stated this method is a way to narrow the span
94 and control to assist in identifying resource needs. Dan Banks would like to add verbiage regarding
95 how the state supports local emergency management.
- 96 ○ Robert Ezelle stated the one-county regions need to consider how this construct would benefit the
97 one-county regions. Walt Hubbard reiterated that there would be emphasis on coordination among
98 local jurisdictions. Dan Banks said there are other issues to address as the concept develops, such as
99 resource allocation.
- 100 ○ Scott McDougall added, during Cascadia Rising, phone calls were harried. If there is a single point
101 of contact, local jurisdiction coordination activities and processes would be smoother.
- 102 ○ Randy August stated that there may be mistrust if prioritization decisions are made by others. Scott
103 McDougall added the prioritization process cannot be used as a filter, but as an aggregate tool.
- 104 ○ Robert Ezelle reminded participants the counties will be way too busy to provide staffing. By
105 developing a coordinating function, a lesser impacted county can provide assistance to the greater
106 impacted county.
- 107 ○ Dan Banks brought up the concept Dave Hall was working on before he left, with a regional
108 partnership, east side and west side, to help with resource requests in the impacted areas. This
109 process will take time to implement.
- 110 ○ Lee Shipman expressed concerns that there may be an attitude that counties do not need to do
111 anything for the tribes. There are six tribes and five counties in Lee Shipman's region. The tribes
112 want to participate alongside the counties.
- 113 ○ Dan Banks stated the state should be taking a larger role with tribal participation and the entire
114 community. "Super regions" may end up being part of the discussion in the future. The current
115 question is, "does the current regional construct meet emergency response needs?"
- 116 ○ Scott Heinze would like to see clearly defined outcomes, and Robert Ezelle clarified that this
117 arrangement would only be in response to a catastrophic incident. Scott Heinze believes an AAG
118 opinion may be needed to ensure to correct path is selected.
- 119 ○ Barb Graff stated this discussion is similar to discussions during the Puget Sound Regional
120 Catastrophic Workgroup time period. What processes should be made more efficient? For
121 situational awareness, are there sister state relationships? She suggests for every outcome, include
122 specifics regarding how the outcomes will be achieved.

- Alysha Kaplan stated that one of the FEMA operations leads wanted to know how the state plans on responding because FEMA plans on using Homeland Security regions for resource distribution planning. That solution may not be geographically feasible.
- Jason Biermann added that FEMA created regions based upon projected impact.
- PattiJean said that in a catastrophic incident, FEMA Region 10 may not be able to perform these duties. The push from Headquarters was that Texas (FEMA Region VI) would be providing support.
- Robert requested a purpose statement for this subject for the next EMAG meeting, and for all EMAG members to be prepared to offer input at the next EMAG meeting on October 6.

➤ Preparedness Message Change

Robert Ezelle

- Tasked to Exercise & Training

Lit Dudley & Rosanne Garrand

- Robert Ezelle would like to know how this idea should be socialized, and Ute Weber (Tacoma) said Tacoma is now on 14 days.
- Walt Hubbard said the discussion sounds like more jurisdictions are on board, and Charles Wallace said Grays Harbor appreciates that emergency managers are beginning to realize the reality of the response following a catastrophic incident.
- Robert Ezelle inquired if anyone knows of areas not supporting 14 days, and Barb Graff stated Seattle has just finished a 7-10 day campaign. Any time frame selected is beneficial.
- Robert Ezelle would like to finalize what the state is going to do and how the message will be delivered. He recommended working with Karina Shagrin (Military Department Communications Director) to develop appropriate verbiage. There was an undertone that the state was concerned that if promoting planning beyond three days was not likely to be implemented among the local jurisdictions. Any added preparation time is beneficial. Charles Wallace said he can provide some verbiage used effectively in his area.
- Alysha Kaplan stated that www.ready.gov does not address more than three days. Robert Ezelle believes the public is ready for the truth and need to be prepared to take care of themselves and not to “sugar coat” the situation.
- Lit Dudley would like feedback through the message development process so that the message is coordinated.
 - Lit Dudley will come back next meeting with a project plan. Robert Ezelle welcomes preparedness messaging from all EMAG participants.
- Robert Ezelle asked Dan Banks and his team to be more proactive in monitoring the EMAG members’ commitments to complete tasks.
- Ute Weber reminded attendees that Rosanne Garrand will have a preparedness table at WSEMA near the registration area. Sean Davis and Jamie Wisecup are working to get this information on the WSEMA website.

Break - Ten Minutes - Tabled

167 1. Cascadia Rising Local Perspective

All

- 168 ○ Dan Banks is trying to identify topics that need to be addressed and turned into actionable items
169 within the EMAG
- 170 ○ PattiJean Hooper stated that Bothell, Redmond, and Issaquah felt the exercise was too FEMA driven
171 and that the planning lacked local engagement. After Bothell had a downtown fire, emergency
172 responders around the area indicated a need for smaller exercises throughout the course of the year
173 to prepare for larger scale exercises.
- 174 ○ The City of Kirkland had the National Guard present during Cascadia Rising, and the Command
175 Sergeant Major has continued to participate in Kirkland's tabletop exercises.
- 176 ○ Dan Banks added there have been large issues identified, and time will be needed to address and
177 correct the issues. Testable plans need to be developed in preparation for the next large exercise.
- 178 ○ Lit Dudley would like to see "mass care" exercises and other "capability" driven exercises to test
179 functional areas.
- 180 ○ Charles Wallace added that coastal communities were left out of Evergreen Tremor. If the state runs
181 the exercise, local jurisdictions are more likely to play and participate. Even for exercises on the east
182 side, the exercise planners need to find a way for coastal communities to participate.
- 183 ○ Robert Ezelle mentioned this discussion has morphed into more of an exercise design discussion.
184 EMAG needs to identify the key essential tasks to improve emergency management response as a
185 whole community effort. Transportation infrastructure was a critical response area, to include rail,
186 air, bridges, and roads. Public safety communications need to be survivable. Planning needs to
187 occur at every level of government. Life safety of the population is the key underlying priority, to
188 include mass care, sheltering, feeding, and medical needs of the impacted populations. Energy and
189 fuel are other vital capabilities to ensure response facilitation, and the priorities of use need to be
190 planned and established. Continuity of operations and government planning of all political
191 subdivisions is imperative. Command and control needs to be revisited to ensure the right
192 resources go to the right locations at the right time. Transition planning from response to recovery
193 planning needs to be addressed.
- 194 ○ Walt Hubbard reiterated that resource management continues to be a challenging subject,
195 especially when determining priorities. Debris management is an integral part of planning. The
196 National Guard has stated they have a lot of resources, but how to get the resources to the local
197 levels are still unknown. Robert Ezelle added the resource request process is the same with the
198 National Guard as with other resources. Dan Banks stated there are still challenges regarding how
199 to "plug in" with the guard. A suggestion has been made to place a subject matter expert from the
200 National Guard to support each Emergency Support Function (ESF) in the EOC. Robert Ezelle
201 stated EMD does not want local jurisdictions to ask for specific items, but to request a specific
202 *capability* and then the best resources can be identified to meet the needs of the requesting
203 jurisdiction. Charles Wallace does not want to know what the National Guard has; he just wants to
204 be able to ask for assistance in a more general format.
- 205 ○ Alysha Kaplan said the National Guard has a joint resource action board where resource requests
206 can be sent and the National Guard can identify where the resources are, but in a catastrophic
207 incident, there will still be challenges how to request National Guard assets. Robert Ezelle reiterated
208 the importance of getting the transportation routes open so that the resources can get where they
209 need to be to take care of the citizens. If there are other vital areas not already addressed, Robert
210 Ezelle would like to know.

- Sandi Duffey stated that Cascadia Rising on the east side meant that there would be a 5.0 equivalent in their area, then Grant County would also be a victim and would need assistance. Alysha Kaplan said that the Nisqually Quake resulted in damage in Walla Walla.
- Randy August stated there were injects requested from Fairchild, and based on various scenarios, the Colvilles would be out of power and needing assistance, for resources such as water and sewage systems. The Colvilles may be able to send debris clearing equipment, but the Colvilles would also be dealing with crisis standards of care in health care facilities. There will be hospitals no longer operating, and there will be a need to move patients to already overcrowded facilities. Some facilities are not permitted to evaluate, treat, and release. Asking for flexibility for DOH regulations is outside the Governor's power authority.
- Barb Graff agreed with areas that need to be addressed, and another item are the advances the RCPT made with transportation and recovery. When the I-5 bridge collapsed in Skagit County, plans were tested. Plans should be tested quarterly.
- Scott Heinze said Pierce County focused on specific capabilities during Cascadia Rising. Pierce County is focusing on tabletops on specific capabilities to apply recent implementation of a plan. Pierce County is currently focusing on Continuity of Operations (COOP), and tabletop exercises can help identify potential shortfalls. COOP exercises should be paper and pencil drill to be able to practice procedures that would actually take place during a catastrophic response. Elected officials who have had IS-402 (Senior Public Officials) training will be on the EOC floors trying to help coordinate the most effective response for their jurisdictions. How will resource prioritization be made and who will be making those decisions?
- Barb Graff sent an email with links to the Regional Catastrophic Planning "jump off point": <http://mil.wa.gov/other-links/plans>.
- Jason Biermann mentioned there have been talks of making schools more resilient, and Robert Ezelle is focusing on an effective response.

2. Membership Discussion (EMC/EMAG) *Robert Ezelle*

- (Due Out #1) Robert Ezelle to contact Kent Sisson
 - i. Done – mentioned above.

3. Tribal Representation Status *Kristin Ramos*

- To be further addressed in the November meeting

Working Group Reports

Robert Ezelle

1. EMPG Implementation and 118-09 WAC *T.J. Rajceovich*

- (Due Out #6) T.J. Hypothetical EMPG funding spreadsheet; Impacts of sustainable funding in emergency management
 - The spreadsheet distributed was reviewed. These are the same documents T.J. emailed out to EMAG members this morning.
 - This year's turn back was minimal (15 EMPG).
 - Feedback received from all levels regarding WAC 118-09, voicing dislike of the rules.
 - Information will be consolidated for further discussion and policy level decision.
 - Lee Shipman inquired if the funding process was run through the Centennial Accord; the allocation process was made available for public comment.

- Hypothetical scenarios were distributed for review to feed into sustainable funding discussions. These documents were also sent out electronically earlier in the morning.
- The tribal process for the return of funds mirror the county process.
- Feedback for the implementation of WAC 118-09 is requested.
- Walt Hubbard expressed appreciation for T.J.'s information and quick response to questions.
- Funding Summary:
 - 15EMPG
 - Agreements with:
 - 22 cities
 - 37 counties
 - 6 tribes
 - 69% expended
 - 17 amendments executed in July and August
 - 20 agreements closed or final reimbursement request received
 - 16EMPG - Local
 - Grant Agreement Boilerplate received from AAG 8/31/2016 – all changes approved
 - 52 applications received
 - 13 EMOs requested an extension to the application due date
 - Process of reviewing applications and will be following up for clarification as needed
 - Expect agreements to be drafted and sent out in September-October timeframe
 - 16EMPG - Tribal
 - \$95,643 available
 - 8 tribes expressed interest (Shoalwater Bay, Squaxin Island, Swinomish, Makah, Muckleshoot, Nisqually, Port Gamble S'Klallam, and Kalispel)
 - Technical Assistance Workshop offered this morning
 - Working with tribal partners to collaborate on allocation methodology

2. 118-30 WAC

Dan Banks

- There is a meeting again in Pierce County in two weeks to address key points. With the Local Preparedness Report, a relook at the WAC may be in order. A review of the document will be available in the November timeframe.

3. SCRIPT

Biermann/Banks

- The SCRIPT group will meet for a two day meeting to work through the framework to provide a guide on catastrophic planning as a tool for planning consistency. The final product should be available sometime next year. There will be a SCRIPT presentation at WSEMA this year by Jason Biermann and Dan Banks.
- The next large project will be operationalizing the regional concept.

4. Standardization - Refocus

Walt Hubbard

o Resource Management Education

- i. Education is ongoing. Mark Douglas and Mark Woodward are planning training, but the training that is needed is in the areas that fires have the greatest impact. The training will be added to the training schedule. Contact was made at the TEPW to generate interest in the training. Logistics has conducted outreach, with continual offers to provide the training.
- ii. Robert Ezelle recommended getting the training out on regional calendars and Robert would like to get the letter out regarding resource management and ordering.

o Regional Decision Making

- i. Sandi Duffey relayed the last Region 7 meeting was cancelled, and the current meeting schedule is for every other month. Darren creates the agendas for the regional meetings.
- ii. SEOC Activation Levels have been changed to be in alignment with ICS, with Level One being full activation.

5. Human Capital

Jason Biermann

o WAMAS Training Update

Dan Banks

- i. Addressed above

o EMAT Update

Jason Biermann

- i. Workshop Update Report Out

- 1. The workshop will be September 29th in Ellensburg, and the agenda is being finalized.

6. Sustainable Funding

Barnaby Dow

- o (Due Out #8) Barnaby Dow and Scott Heinze legislative "Ted Talk"

Finalizing presentation for WSEMA.

- o Scott Heinze and Barnaby Dow specific sustainable funding tasks – to be revisited next meeting.
 - i. PattiJean Hooper mentioned discussions on how to engage the emergency management community, and not only a WSEMA function. The legislature needs to be informed regarding what the emergency management community really needs to effectively function.

New Business

1. Due Out #12

All

- a. Current EMAG activities and deliverables;
viability of continuation of all day work sessions

- i. The consensus is no, unless there is a large project that needs more frequent attention than every other month.
- ii. PattiJean Hooper indicated the EMAG has lost momentum and the sustainable funding has been "punted off to WSEMA", but there are still items on a previous list that still need to be addressed and revisit items that should have more attention.
- i. Lee Shipman would like to maintain the list of items to address, but to remove the all-day meetings due to expense.

- ii. Ute Weber stated Cascadia Rising caused a loss of momentum.
 - iii. Robert Ezelle mentioned he was not able to attend the October meeting. In November, the agenda is dedicated to revisiting work items remaining to determine what items need more effort. By that meeting, the Cascadia Rising After Action Report should be out.
 - iv. Lee Shipman reminded the group that November was promised as a tribal meeting. Squaxin Island offered to host.
 - v. Scott McDougall suggested keeping the October 6 meeting, perhaps morning session only (0800-1200) to regroup and readdress open tasks. The meeting will be held in the Policy Room. The meeting would need to be held in an alternative location due to competing events in King County.
 - a. Initial brain storming and determine what the future work plan will be.
 - b. A moderator (Kristin Ramos to contact New MIL Lean person – Keith Kosic) is needed to keep the group on track
2. Charter Paragraph J indicates that EMAG members who miss two or more meetings may be released
 - a. The language indicates absence “without just cause”.
 3. Coming into the October and November meetings, Kristin Ramos will look into which positions are due for revalidation or replacement.

Closing Remarks

Robert Ezelle

1. For upcoming meetings, look into what EMAG is going to DO, rather than talking about what is going to be done.

Adjournment

9/1/2016 4:00 PM

1. Motion to adjourn by: Walt Hubbard
2. Second by: Randy August
 - a) Discussion: None
3. Approval: Unanimous

Due Outs from Working Group Session

1. Item
 - o Details following minutes

2016 Meeting Schedule

November 3, 2016: 1300-1600 (EMD Policy Room) December 1, 2016: 0800-1600 (Renton)

384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391

2017 Meeting Schedule

- Jan 5, 2017: 1300-1600 (EMD Policy Room)
- Mar 2, 2017: 1300-1600 (EMD Policy Room)
- May 4, 2017: 1300-1600 (EMD Policy Room)
- Jul 6, 2017: 1300-1600 (EMD Policy Room)
- Sep 7, 2017: 1300-1600 (EMD Policy Room)
- Nov 2, 2017: 1300-1600 (EMD Policy Room)
- February 2, 2017: 0800-1600 (Renton)
- April 6, 2017: 0800-1600 (Renton)
- June 1, 2017: 0800-1600 (Renton)
- August 3, 2017: 0800-1600 (Renton)
- October 5, 2017: 0800-1600 (Renton)
- December 7, 2017: 0800-1600 (Renton)

392

Emergency Management Advisory Group (EMAG)					
4-Aug-16					
Representative	Last Name	First Name	Organization	Email	Signature
Tribes	August	Randy	Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation	Randy.August2@colvilletribes.com	
Westside Large County	Biermann	Jason	Snohomish County	jason.biermann@co.sno.wa.us	
Eastside Small County Tier 1	Boggs	JoAnn	Pend Oreille County	jboggs@pendoreille.org	
Westside Medium County	Brooks	Eric	Island County	E.Brooks@co.island.wa.us	
Eastside Medium County	Davis	Deanna	Benton County	ddavis@bcn.wa.gov	
Eastside Medium County	Duffey	Samell	Grant County	sduffy@grantcountywa.gov	
State	Evallie	Robert	EMD	robert.evallie@mi.wa.gov	
Large Cities	Graff	Barb	City of Seattle	barb.graff@seattle.gov	
Medium Cities	Green	Tony	City of Tacoma	tgreen@cityoftacoma.org	
State	Hardin	Kurt	EMD	kurt.hardin@mi.wa.gov	
Westside Large County	Heintze	Scott	Pierce County	sheintze@co.pierce.wa.us	
Medium Cities	Hooper	Pattiksen	City of Kirkland	pjhooper@kirklandwa.gov	
Westside Very Large County	Hubbard	Walt	King County	walt.hubbard@kingcounty.gov	
Small Cities	Jenkins	Gary	City of Pullman	gary.jenkins@pullman-wa.gov	
Eastside Large County	Lewis	Ed	Spokane County	edlewis@spokane-county.org	EXCLUDED ABSENCE
Tribes	McDaniel	Ada	Muckleshoot Indian Tribe	ada.mcdaniel@muckleshoot.nsn.us	
Westside Small County Tier 1	McDougal	Scott	Pacific County	smcdougall@co.pacific.wa.us	
Tribes	Robinsotte	Larry	Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation	larry.robinsotte@colvilletribes.com	
Tribes	Shipman	Lee	Shoshwater Bay Tribe	leehpman@shoshwaterbay-tnn.gov	
Eastside Small County Tier 3	Wallace	Chuck	Grays Harbor County	cwallace@co.grays-harbor.wa.us	
Westside Small County Tier 2	Weber	Jhe	City of Tacoma	jweber@cityoftacoma.org	
Eastside Small County Tier 2	Weise	Jay	Adams County	jayw@co.adams.wa.us	
Supporting Staff	Call In: 1-877-826-7831	Passcode: 125856	Moderator: 7282550		
State	Banks	Dan	EMD	dan.banks@mi.wa.gov	
State	Dudley	Lit	EMD	lit.dudley@mi.wa.gov	
State	Hollingsworth	John	EMD	john.hollingsworth@mi.wa.gov	
State	Kaplan	Alysha	EMD	alyshe.kaplan@mi.wa.gov	
State	Lang	Rob	EMD	robert.lang@mi.wa.gov	
State	Rajcewicz	T.J.	EMD	timothy.rajcewicz@mi.wa.gov	
State	Ramos	Kristin	EMD	kristin.amos@mi.wa.gov	
State	Schaal	Jennifer	EMD	jennifer.schaal@mi.wa.gov	
State	Lufford	John	EMD	john.lufford@mi.wa.gov	
Guests					
Asotin County	Allen	Butch	Asotin County	butchallen@clarkston.com	
State	Daugherty	MG Bret	MI	Bret.Daugherty@mi.wa.gov	
State	Tosie	Wanda	EMD	wanda.tosie@mi.wa.gov	

15EMPG

as of: 8/31/2016

Subgrantee	Original Award Amount	Adjustments	Final Award Amount	Expenditures to Date	Balance	% Expended	Notes	Turnback
Adams County	\$16,795.00		\$16,795.00	\$8,066.35	\$8,728.65	48%		
Asotin County	\$12,000.00	(\$600.00)	\$11,400.00	\$11,400.00	\$0.00	100%	\$600 added to 14EMPG award	\$0.00
Auburn	\$49,366.00		\$49,366.00	\$42,583.17	\$6,782.83	86%		
Bellevue	\$85,679.00		\$85,679.00	\$45,326.79	\$40,352.21	53%		
Bellingham	\$47,803.00		\$47,803.00	\$47,803.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Benton County	\$106,844.00		\$106,844.00	\$66,618.23	\$40,225.77	62%		
Bothell	\$20,728.00		\$20,728.00	\$9,491.67	\$11,236.33	46%		
Centralia	\$7,499.00		\$7,499.00	\$0.00	\$7,499.00	0%		
Chelan County	\$42,817.00		\$42,817.00	\$42,817.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Clallam County	\$40,042.00		\$40,042.00	\$0.00	\$40,042.00	0%		
Columbia County	\$13,904.00		\$13,904.00	\$7,809.50	\$6,094.50	56%		
Cowlitz County	\$52,395.00		\$52,395.00	\$42,778.69	\$9,616.31	82%		
CRESA	\$194,143.00		\$194,143.00	\$194,143.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Douglas County	\$18,790.00		\$18,790.00	\$9,613.57	\$9,176.43	51%		
ESCA	\$0.00	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$30,518.00	\$4,482.00	87%	Bridge funding to employ personnel until 12/31	\$4,482.00
Everett	\$63,473.00		\$63,473.00	\$40,861.57	\$22,611.43	64%		
Federal Way	\$47,208.00		\$47,208.00	\$7,523.78	\$39,684.22	16%		
Ferry County	\$12,000.00	(\$12,000.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Fife	\$9,376.00	(\$9,376.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Franklin County	\$46,678.00	\$125,000.00	\$171,678.00	\$38,398.88	\$133,279.12	22%		
Garfield County	\$15,931.00	(\$15,931.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	due to change in staff, chose not to participate	
Grant County	\$50,816.00		\$50,816.00	\$0.00	\$50,816.00	0%		
Grays Harbor County	\$38,736.00		\$38,736.00	\$38,736.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Island County	\$36,197.00		\$36,197.00	\$0.00	\$36,197.00	0%		
Issaquah	\$18,878.00	(\$18,878.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to participate	
Jefferson County	\$17,288.00		\$17,288.00	\$17,274.51	\$13.49	100%		\$13.49
Kalispel Tribe	\$23,387.00		\$23,387.00	\$0.00	\$23,387.00	0%		
Kent	\$81,876.00		\$81,876.00	\$26,226.91	\$55,649.09	32%		
King County	\$285,676.00		\$285,676.00	\$285,676.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Kirkland	\$45,097.00		\$45,097.00	\$35,351.77	\$9,745.23	78%		
Kitsap County	\$131,400.00		\$131,400.00	\$131,400.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Kittitas County	\$16,263.00		\$16,263.00	\$0.00	\$16,263.00	0%		
Klickitat County	\$13,845.00		\$13,845.00	\$6,313.79	\$7,531.21	46%		
Lakewood	\$52,820.00		\$52,820.00	\$26,410.00	\$26,410.00	50%		
Lewis County	\$47,204.00		\$47,204.00	\$0.00	\$47,204.00	0%		
Lincoln County	\$18,290.00		\$18,290.00	\$0.00	\$18,290.00	0%		
Makah Tribe	\$13,882.00		\$13,882.00	\$8,430.51	\$5,451.49	61%		
Maple Valley	\$13,092.00		\$13,092.00	\$9,292.40	\$3,799.60	71%		
Marysville	\$36,190.00	(\$36,190.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Mason County	\$50,269.00		\$50,269.00	\$0.00	\$50,269.00	0%		
Medina	\$6,064.00		\$6,064.00	\$5,950.00	\$114.00	98%		\$114.00
Mercer Island	\$13,476.00	(\$13,476.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Monroe	\$10,529.00	(\$10,529.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Normandy Park	\$2,072.00	(\$2,072.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Nisqually Tribe	\$49,880.00		\$49,880.00	\$0.00	\$49,880.00	0%		
Okanogan County	\$22,544.00		\$22,544.00	\$0.00	\$22,544.00	0%		
Pacific	\$7,337.00	(\$7,337.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Pacific County	\$18,656.00		\$18,656.00	\$10,225.00	\$8,431.00	55%		
Pend Oreille County	\$24,263.00		\$24,263.00	\$12,167.50	\$12,095.50	50%		
Pierce County	\$309,897.00		\$309,897.00	\$309,897.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Puyallup	\$33,965.00		\$33,965.00	\$33,965.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Redmond	\$37,355.00		\$37,355.00	\$0.00	\$37,355.00	0%		
Renton	\$72,093.00		\$72,093.00	\$14,463.02	\$57,629.98	20%		
San Juan County	\$16,652.00		\$16,652.00	\$4,781.87	\$11,870.13	29%		
SeaTac	\$12,602.00		\$12,602.00	\$0.00	\$12,602.00	0%		
Seattle	\$357,518.00		\$357,518.00	\$357,518.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Shoalwater Bay Tribe	\$33,268.00		\$33,268.00	\$13,451.31	\$19,816.69	40%		
Shoreline	\$40,083.00		\$40,083.00	\$13,002.30	\$27,080.70	32%		
Skagit County	\$60,555.00		\$60,555.00	\$60,555.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Skamania County	\$15,523.00		\$15,523.00	\$15,523.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Snohomish County	\$221,039.00	\$33,729.00	\$254,768.00	\$223,158.57	\$31,609.43	88%	\$15,000 was used for bridge funding amended to 14EMPG and non-applying cities awards shifted to county total	
Snoqualmie	\$10,341.00		\$10,341.00	\$7,700.00	\$2,641.00	74%		
Spokane County	\$212,772.00		\$212,772.00	\$97,014.91	\$115,757.09	46%		
Squaxin Island Tribe	\$32,306.00		\$32,306.00	\$12,387.74	\$19,918.26	38%		
Stanwood	\$2,010.00	(\$2,010.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Stevens County	\$17,638.00		\$17,638.00	\$17,638.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Sumner	\$14,438.00	(\$14,438.00)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-	chose not to apply	
Swinomish Tribe	\$23,000.00		\$23,000.00	\$10,512.42	\$12,487.58	46%		
Tacoma	\$117,370.00		\$117,370.00	\$77,704.49	\$39,665.51	66%		
Thurston County	\$155,289.00		\$155,289.00	\$142,870.56	\$12,418.44	92%		
Tukwila	\$34,891.00		\$34,891.00	\$5,455.62	\$29,435.38	16%		
Wahkiakum County	\$15,893.00		\$15,893.00	\$15,893.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Walla Walla County	\$30,203.00		\$30,203.00	\$30,203.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Whatcom County	\$67,048.00		\$67,048.00	\$67,048.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Whitman County	\$34,093.00		\$34,093.00	\$31,861.02	\$2,231.98	93%		
Yakima City	\$53,812.00		\$53,812.00	\$8,510.89	\$45,301.11	16%		
Yakima County	\$90,025.00		\$90,025.00	\$90,025.00	\$0.00	100%		\$0.00
Tribal Unallocated	\$21,843.00							
	\$4,193,020.00	\$50,892.00	\$ 4,222,069.00	\$2,920,347.31	\$1,301,721.69	69%		\$ 4,609.49

Final

EMPG - 90% PassThrough Scenario

Scenario #1 - Additional Funding Allocated Per Capita

Jurisdiction	Population	Base Award	Additional	Final Award	16EMPG Award	Increase	% of change
Adams County	19,510	\$ 18,000	\$ 5,762	\$ 23,762	\$ 18,000	\$ 5,762	32%
Asotin County	22,150	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,542	\$ 24,542	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,542	36%
Auburn	77,060	\$ 40,840	\$ 22,759	\$ 63,599	\$ 43,315	\$ 20,284	47%
Bellevue	139,400	\$ 73,879	\$ 41,170	\$ 115,049	\$ 77,404	\$ 37,645	49%
Bellingham	84,850	\$ 44,969	\$ 25,059	\$ 70,028	\$ 47,922	\$ 22,106	46%
Benton County	190,500	\$ 100,961	\$ 56,262	\$ 157,223	\$ 108,131	\$ 49,092	45%
Bothell	43,980	\$ 23,308	\$ 12,989	\$ 36,297	\$ 24,448	\$ 11,849	48%
Chelan County	75,910	\$ 40,231	\$ 22,419	\$ 62,650	\$ 43,019	\$ 19,631	46%
Clallam County	73,410	\$ 38,906	\$ 21,681	\$ 60,586	\$ 41,655	\$ 18,931	45%
Clark County (CRESA)	461,010	\$ 244,325	\$ 136,153	\$ 380,478	\$ 259,057	\$ 121,421	47%
Columbia County	4,050	\$ 18,000	\$ 1,196	\$ 19,196	\$ 18,000	\$ 1,196	7%
Cowlitz County	104,850	\$ 55,568	\$ 30,966	\$ 86,534	\$ 59,790	\$ 26,744	45%
Douglas County	40,720	\$ 21,581	\$ 12,026	\$ 33,607	\$ 22,929	\$ 10,678	47%
Everett	108,300	\$ 57,397	\$ 31,985	\$ 89,382	\$ 60,662	\$ 28,720	47%
Federal Way	93,670	\$ 49,643	\$ 27,664	\$ 77,307	\$ 52,038	\$ 25,269	49%
Ferry County	7,700	\$ 18,000	\$ 2,274	\$ 20,274	\$ 18,000	\$ 2,274	13%
Franklin County	88,670	\$ 46,993	\$ 26,187	\$ 73,181	\$ 49,969	\$ 23,212	46%
Garfield County	2,200	\$ 18,000	\$ 650	\$ 18,650	\$ 18,000	\$ 650	4%
Grant County	94,610	\$ 50,141	\$ 27,942	\$ 78,083	\$ 53,856	\$ 24,227	45%
Grays Harbor County	72,820	\$ 38,593	\$ 21,506	\$ 60,099	\$ 41,919	\$ 18,180	43%
Island County	82,910	\$ 43,941	\$ 24,486	\$ 68,427	\$ 46,213	\$ 22,214	48%
Issaquah	34,590	\$ 18,332	\$ 10,216	\$ 28,548	\$ 19,110	\$ 9,438	49%
Jefferson County	31,090	\$ 18,000	\$ 9,182	\$ 27,182	\$ 18,000	\$ 9,182	51%
Kenmore/Lake Forest Park	35,260	\$ 18,687	\$ 10,414	\$ 29,101	\$ 19,672	\$ 9,429	48%
Kent	124,500	\$ 65,982	\$ 36,769	\$ 102,752	\$ 70,466	\$ 32,286	46%
King County	494,325	\$ 261,982	\$ 145,992	\$ 407,974	\$ 315,229	\$ 92,745	29%
Kirkland	84,680	\$ 44,879	\$ 25,009	\$ 69,888	\$ 47,853	\$ 22,035	46%
Kitsap County	262,590	\$ 139,167	\$ 77,552	\$ 216,719	\$ 148,042	\$ 68,677	46%
Kittitas County	43,710	\$ 23,165	\$ 12,909	\$ 36,075	\$ 24,465	\$ 11,610	47%
Klickitat County	21,270	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,282	\$ 24,282	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,282	35%
Lakewood	58,800	\$ 31,163	\$ 17,366	\$ 48,529	\$ 33,484	\$ 15,045	45%
Lewis County	76,890	\$ 40,750	\$ 22,708	\$ 63,458	\$ 43,954	\$ 19,504	44%
Lincoln County	10,640	\$ 18,000	\$ 3,142	\$ 21,142	\$ 18,000	\$ 3,142	17%
Maple Valley	24,790	\$ 13,138	\$ 7,321	\$ 20,460	\$ 14,162	\$ 6,298	44%
Mason County	62,320	\$ 33,028	\$ 18,405	\$ 51,434	\$ 35,663	\$ 15,771	44%
Okanogan County	41,730	\$ 22,116	\$ 12,324	\$ 34,440	\$ 24,001	\$ 10,439	43%
Olympia	51,600	\$ 27,347	\$ 15,239	\$ 42,586	\$ 29,253	\$ 13,333	46%
Pacific County	21,180	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,255	\$ 24,255	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,255	35%
Pend Oreille County	13,290	\$ 18,000	\$ 3,925	\$ 21,925	\$ 18,000	\$ 3,925	22%
Pierce County	530,020	\$ 280,899	\$ 156,534	\$ 437,433	\$ 298,651	\$ 138,782	46%
Puyallup	39,850	\$ 21,120	\$ 11,769	\$ 32,889	\$ 22,333	\$ 10,556	47%
Redmond	60,560	\$ 32,096	\$ 17,886	\$ 49,981	\$ 33,932	\$ 16,049	47%
Renton	101,300	\$ 53,687	\$ 29,918	\$ 83,604	\$ 56,459	\$ 27,145	48%
San Juan County	16,320	\$ 18,000	\$ 4,820	\$ 22,820	\$ 18,000	\$ 4,820	27%
Seatac	27,810	\$ 14,739	\$ 8,213	\$ 22,952	\$ 15,853	\$ 7,099	45%
Seattle	686,800	\$ 363,989	\$ 202,837	\$ 566,826	\$ 379,796	\$ 187,030	49%
Shoreline	54,990	\$ 29,144	\$ 16,241	\$ 45,384	\$ 31,248	\$ 14,136	45%
Skagit County	122,270	\$ 64,800	\$ 36,111	\$ 100,911	\$ 69,159	\$ 31,752	46%
Skamania County	11,500	\$ 18,000	\$ 3,396	\$ 21,396	\$ 18,000	\$ 3,396	19%
Snohomish County	647,170	\$ 342,986	\$ 191,133	\$ 534,119	\$ 363,839	\$ 170,280	47%
Snoqualmie/Carnation/ Duvall/North Bend	28,955	\$ 15,346	\$ 8,551	\$ 23,897	\$ 16,309	\$ 7,588	47%
Spokane County	492,530	\$ 261,030	\$ 145,462	\$ 406,492	\$ 279,979	\$ 126,513	45%
Stevens County	44,100	\$ 23,372	\$ 13,024	\$ 36,396	\$ 25,245	\$ 11,151	44%
Tacoma	206,100	\$ 109,229	\$ 60,869	\$ 170,097	\$ 115,991	\$ 54,106	47%
Thurston County	221,090	\$ 117,173	\$ 65,296	\$ 182,469	\$ 124,070	\$ 58,399	47%
Tukwila	19,540	\$ 10,356	\$ 5,771	\$ 16,127	\$ 11,066	\$ 5,061	46%
Wahkiakum County	4,000	\$ 18,000	\$ 1,181	\$ 19,181	\$ 18,000	\$ 1,181	7%
Walla Walla County	60,730	\$ 32,186	\$ 17,936	\$ 50,121	\$ 34,774	\$ 15,347	44%
Whatcom County	127,690	\$ 67,673	\$ 37,711	\$ 105,384	\$ 72,364	\$ 33,020	46%
Whitman County	47,940	\$ 25,407	\$ 14,158	\$ 39,566	\$ 27,091	\$ 12,475	46%
Yakima	93,410	\$ 49,505	\$ 27,587	\$ 77,093	\$ 53,449	\$ 23,644	44%
Yakima County	157,490	\$ 83,466	\$ 46,513	\$ 129,979	\$ 89,875	\$ 40,104	45%
TRIBES	166,806	\$ 88,404	\$ 49,264	\$ 137,667	\$ 95,643	\$ 42,024	44%
TOTAL		\$ 4,031,618	\$ 2,170,871	\$ 6,202,488	\$ 4,308,807		

WA State Award (16EMPG)	\$ 7,254,374
5% M&A	\$ 362,719
Remaining for allocation	\$ 6,891,655
10% EMD Operational funding	\$ 689,166
90% Pass Through	\$ 6,202,490
65% PT base	\$ 4,031,618
Smallest County Awards	\$ 234,000
Remaining	\$ 3,797,618

minimum city population 12,117
for \$10,000 award

minimum county population 33,962
for per capita calculation

Base Per Capita \$ 0.53

35% additional \$ 2,170,871
Additional Per Capita \$ 0.30

EMPG - 90% PassThrough Scenario

Scenario #2 - Commensurate increase to smallest county award amount

Jurisdiction	Population	Award	16EMPG Award	Increase	% of change
Adams County	19,510	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Asotin County	22,150	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Auburn	77,060	\$ 63,050	\$ 43,315	\$ 19,735	46%
Bellevue	139,400	\$ 114,055	\$ 77,404	\$ 36,651	47%
Bellingham	84,850	\$ 69,423	\$ 47,922	\$ 21,501	45%
Benton County	190,500	\$ 155,865	\$ 108,131	\$ 47,734	44%
Bothell	43,980	\$ 35,984	\$ 24,448	\$ 11,536	47%
Chelan County	75,910	\$ 62,109	\$ 43,019	\$ 19,090	44%
Clallam County	73,410	\$ 60,063	\$ 41,655	\$ 18,408	44%
Clark County (CRESA)	461,010	\$ 377,193	\$ 259,057	\$ 118,136	46%
Columbia County	4,050	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Cowlitz County	104,850	\$ 85,787	\$ 59,790	\$ 25,997	43%
Douglas County	40,720	\$ 33,317	\$ 22,929	\$ 10,388	45%
Everett	108,300	\$ 88,610	\$ 60,662	\$ 27,948	46%
Federal Way	93,670	\$ 76,640	\$ 52,038	\$ 24,602	47%
Ferry County	7,700	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Franklin County	88,670	\$ 72,549	\$ 49,969	\$ 22,580	45%
Garfield County	2,200	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Grant County	94,610	\$ 77,409	\$ 53,856	\$ 23,553	44%
Grays Harbor County	72,820	\$ 59,580	\$ 41,919	\$ 17,661	42%
Island County	82,910	\$ 67,836	\$ 46,213	\$ 21,623	47%
Issaquah	34,590	\$ 28,301	\$ 19,110	\$ 9,191	48%
Jefferson County	31,090	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Kenmore/Lake Forest Park	35,260	\$ 28,849	\$ 19,672	\$ 9,177	47%
Kent	124,500	\$ 101,864	\$ 70,466	\$ 31,398	45%
King County	494,325	\$ 404,451	\$ 315,229	\$ 89,222	28% *
Kirkland	84,680	\$ 69,284	\$ 47,853	\$ 21,431	45%
Kitsap County	262,590	\$ 214,848	\$ 148,042	\$ 66,806	45%
Kittitas County	43,710	\$ 35,763	\$ 24,465	\$ 11,298	46%
Klickitat County	21,270	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Lakewood	58,800	\$ 48,109	\$ 33,484	\$ 14,625	44%
Lewis County	76,890	\$ 62,910	\$ 43,954	\$ 18,956	43%
Lincoln County	10,640	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Maple Valley	24,790	\$ 20,283	\$ 14,162	\$ 6,121	43%
Mason County	62,320	\$ 50,989	\$ 35,663	\$ 15,326	43%
Okanogan County	41,730	\$ 34,143	\$ 24,001	\$ 10,142	42%
Olympia	51,600	\$ 42,218	\$ 29,253	\$ 12,965	44%
Pacific County	21,180	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Pend Oreille County	13,290	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Pierce County	530,020	\$ 433,656	\$ 298,651	\$ 135,005	45%
Puyallup	39,850	\$ 32,605	\$ 22,333	\$ 10,272	46%
Redmond	60,560	\$ 49,549	\$ 33,932	\$ 15,617	46%
Renton	101,300	\$ 82,882	\$ 56,459	\$ 26,423	47%
San Juan County	16,320	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Seatac	27,810	\$ 22,754	\$ 15,853	\$ 6,901	44%
Seattle	686,800	\$ 561,931	\$ 379,796	\$ 182,135	48%
Shoreline	54,990	\$ 44,992	\$ 31,248	\$ 13,744	44%
Skagit County	122,270	\$ 100,040	\$ 69,159	\$ 30,881	45%
Skamania County	11,500	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Snohomish County	647,170	\$ 529,507	\$ 363,839	\$ 165,668	46%
Snoqualmie/Carnation/Duvall/	28,955	\$ 23,691	\$ 16,309	\$ 7,382	45%
Spokane County	492,530	\$ 402,982	\$ 279,979	\$ 123,003	44%
Stevens County	44,100	\$ 36,082	\$ 25,245	\$ 10,837	43%
Tacoma	206,100	\$ 168,629	\$ 115,991	\$ 52,638	45%
Thurston County	221,090	\$ 180,893	\$ 124,070	\$ 56,823	46%
Tukwila	19,540	\$ 15,987	\$ 11,066	\$ 4,921	44%
Wahkiakum County	4,000	\$ 26,129	\$ 18,000	\$ 8,129	45%
Walla Walla County	60,730	\$ 49,689	\$ 34,774	\$ 14,915	43%
Whatcom County	127,690	\$ 104,474	\$ 72,364	\$ 32,110	44%
Whitman County	47,940	\$ 39,224	\$ 27,091	\$ 12,133	45%
Yakima	93,410	\$ 76,427	\$ 53,449	\$ 22,978	43%
Yakima County	157,490	\$ 128,856	\$ 89,875	\$ 38,981	43%
TRIBES	166,806	\$ 136,479	\$ 95,643	\$ 40,836	43%
TOTAL		\$ 6,202,488	\$ 4,308,807		

WA State Award (16EMPG)	\$ 7,254,374
5% M&A	\$ 362,719
Remaining for allocation	\$ 6,891,655
10% EMD Operational funding	\$ 689,166
90% Pass Through	\$ 6,202,490
Base to Counties below 30K pop	\$ 339,677
Remaining	\$ 5,862,812
Base Per Capita	\$ 0.82

minimum city population 12,222
for \$10,000 award

minimum county population 31,934
for per capita calculation

* King County low percentage of change due to additional city participation